

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0164 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Keep each resident's personal and medical records private and confidential. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on an observation, staff interviews and medical record review, the facility failed to provide privacy, to a tube fed resident, by closing the blinds and the privacy curtain during medication administration and when an enteral feeding product was administered for 1 of 3 sample residents reviewed for enteral feeding products (Resident #36) The findings included: Resident #36 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. On 02/24/16 at 05:35 AM Resident #36 was in her bed with the head of the bed elevated to approximately 30 degrees. On 02/24/16 at 05:48 AM, the enteral feeding bottle of Glucerna 1.2 was empty and the enteral feeding pump was noted beeping. Nurse #7 was observed to turn off the enteral feeding pump per the request of Nurse #6. Nurse #6 was noted to gather supplies and medications for Resident #36 which included an enteral feeding bottle of Glucerna 1.2. On 02/24/16 at 06:05 AM, Nurse #7 lifted the shirt of Resident #36, which exposed her brief, torso, and the skin just underneath her breast area. Nurse #6 administered medications to Resident #36 via the PEG tube and started the enteral feeding product. The privacy curtain was open, the roommate was present in the room, the room door was open and the blinds were open. Resident #36 was in the first bed next to the room door and the window in the room faced the facility's parking lot. There was car activity noted and people observed in the parking lot. Nurse #6 was interviewed on 02/24/16 at 6:10 AM and stated he was trained to provide privacy when he administered medications by closing the privacy curtain between residents and to make sure the blinds were closed; he apologized for not providing privacy to Resident #36 when he administered her medications. The Interim Director of Nursing (DON) was interviewed on 02/25/16 at 8:48 AM. During the interview she stated that due to the recent incident of sexual abuse, staff were encouraged to leave room doors open when care was provided unless the resident requested to have their door closed. The Interim DON stated she expected staff to provide privacy to a resident by closing the privacy curtain between residents and closing the blinds when nursing care was provided.		
F 0166 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Try to resolve each resident's complaints quickly. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on record reviews, resident and staff interviews the facility failed to resolve a grievance regarding bed baths for 1 of 4 residents sampled for grievances. (Resident #24) The findings included: Resident #24 was readmitted to the facility on [DATE] with [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. Review of the most recent quarterly Minimum Data Set ((MDS) dated [DATE] indicated that Resident #24 was cognitively intact and required extensive assistance with activities of daily living (ADL). The MDS further indicated no behaviors were identified. Review of the facility's bathing log dated 01/28/16 through 02/24/16 for Resident #24 revealed that Resident #24 had received no type of bathing or bathing assistance for 21 of the last 30 days. Review of Resident Concern form dated 02/04/16 revealed Resident #24's friend and responsible party (RP) filed a grievance on Resident #24's behalf that read in part Resident #24 is not receiving baths this is not including bed baths given by hospice on Tuesdays and Thursdays. The expected outcome stated that Resident #24 would receive an extra bath weekly. The resolution to the grievance stated that Resident #24 would receive an extra bath a week for a total of 3 baths per week and Resident #24 was satisfied with the stated resolution on 02/08/16. The Resident Concern form was signed by the Director of Nursing (DON) and the Administrator. Interview with Resident #24 on 02/23/16 at 3:02 PM revealed that he was waiting to get his bath for the day. Resident #24 stated that he had not been washed up at all and was waiting on the nursing assistant to come and wash him. Resident #24 also stated that he did not take showers due to chronic pain, so he took bed baths on Tuesdays and Thursdays when the hospice staff came and assisted him. Resident #24 stated that on the days that hospice is not there, the facility staff is supposed to wash me up and they do not. Resident #24 further stated that he would be happy with 3 bed baths per week. Resident #24 stated the he remembered the grievance filed by his friend and RP on his behalf and the resolution was fine if he was actually receiving the 3 bed baths per week but he was not. Interview with Social Worker Assistant (SWA) on 02/24/16 at 4:36 PM stated the resident concern forms are located outside her office and on admission residents and families are notified where they are located. When a resident or family has a concern it is placed on the Resident Concern form and then she routed it to the appropriate department for resolution. The SWA recalled the grievance filed by Resident #24 and stated that he was in agreement with the resolution of adding the 3 bath per week but that no other follow up was done to determine if Resident #24 was receiving the bath or not, that would be up the manager for the department that concern was routed too. Interview with the interim Director of Nursing (DON) on 02/24/16 at 5:51 PM revealed that she had received the grievance from the DON and had spoken with the shower team and an extra bath was scheduled so that Resident #24 would receive 3 bath per week and he was in agreement with this. The interim DON stated that no further follow up had been done to determine if Resident #24 had received 3 baths per week, she further stated that she should have went back a week or 2 later to see if Resident #24 was satisfied with the resolution at that point.		
F 0223 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	Protect each resident from all abuse, physical punishment, and being separated from others. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on staff interviews and record review, the facility failed to protect a resident's right to be free from physical abuse with immediate intervention when a resident became combative during nursing care. A resident was physically abused twice when a staff member slapped her on the face and then on the right thigh for 1 of 1 sampled residents reviewed for abuse. (Resident #6). Immediate Jeopardy began on [DATE] when Nurse Aide (NA) #1 slapped a combative resident on the face and again on the right thigh (Resident #6). Each incident of physical abuse occurred on the secure unit and was witnessed by NA #2. NA #2 did not immediately intervene or report to administrative staff that she witnessed physical abuse against Resident #6 and failed to protect this Resident and other residents on the secure unit from further abuse. Resident #6 was assessed with [REDACTED]. The immediate jeopardy is present and ongoing. The findings included: Resident #6 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. Resident #6 was currently being treated and		
LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE		TITLE	(X6) DATE

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0223 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 1) followed by ongoing psychiatric services. Medical record review revealed Resident #6 had physician orders [REDACTED]. A quarterly Minimum (MDS) data set [DATE] assessed Resident #6 with severely impaired cognition, required extensive staff assistance of 2 persons for activities of daily living (ADL) to include mobility, transfers, dressing and toileting, physically and verbally abusive and without impairments in range of motion. A care plan dated [DATE] recorded that Resident #6 had problematic behavior characterized by ineffective coping behaviors of verbal and physical abuse, resistive to treatment/care as evidenced by yelling, cursing, swinging arms and delusional behavior. The care plan's goal specified that staff were to ensure the resident's safety. The care plan's interventions included the following: approach calmly and slowly from the front, respect personal space, provide diversion activity, allow for flexibility in ADL routine to accommodate mood, and when care is refused, leave and return in [DATE] minutes. Review of the Resident care guide revealed staff were encouraged to approach Resident #6 in a calm, reassuring manner and if care was refused, to approach the Resident later. A progress note dated [DATE] by the nurse practitioner (NP) revealed Resident #6 was referred by nursing for evaluation of morning agitation and persevering behaviors. Nursing reported that Resident #6 was noted increasingly agitated primarily in the morning. The progress note recorded that Resident #6 was noted by the NP to be very agitated, angry, and confused. Medications were adjusted and staff were to continue to monitor. A Skin Monitoring Review for Resident #6 dated [DATE] did not record any changes or concern with skin integrity. Review of a nursing progress note dated [DATE] at 4:49 PM written by Nurse #1 and the February 2016 Medication Administration Record [REDACTED]. Nurse #1 documented that she administered [MEDICATION NAME] 1 mg by mouth as needed for agitation at 7:40 AM with some positive effects and then [MEDICATION NAME] 2 mg IM as needed for pain at 12:10 PM with slight positive effects. A nursing progress note dated [DATE] at 4:56 PM by Nurse #1 recorded that nursing assistant (NA #1) verbalized she slapped Resident #6 during care when the Resident pulled her hair. The Medical Director was notified and assessed the Resident. Nurse #1 performed a full body assessment for Resident #6 and noted a deep reddened area to the Resident's right upper thigh. A Skin Monitoring Review dated [DATE], completed by Nurse #1, recorded that Resident #6 had redness to her inner thighs and an irregular reddened area, approximately 3 inches long to the front of her upper right thigh. An incident report dated [DATE] at 5:03 PM completed by the Director of Nursing (DON), recorded that NA #1 stated she struck Resident #6 on the leg and afterwards the Resident was noted with red marks across the right thigh. A written statement by NA #1 dated [DATE] recorded that NA #1 struck Resident #6 on her leg on [DATE] around 10:00 AM when Resident #6 become combative and pulled the hair of NA #1. Review of a Health Care Personnel Registry (HCPR) 24 Hour Initial Report dated [DATE] completed by the Administrator, recorded that on [DATE] at 10:00 AM, NA #1 stated she struck Resident #6 on her leg to stop the Resident from pulling her hair. Resident #6 was noted with a red mark on her upper right thigh. Review of the facility's investigation revealed a written statement by the Administrator, dated [DATE], which recorded that she spoke to the Deputy Sheriff on [DATE] around 9:00 PM and was asked if she was aware that NA #2 also witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on [DATE] about 8:00 AM. The written statement recorded that the Administrator was not aware. The Administrator documented that she spoke to NA #2 on [DATE] and was informed that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face on [DATE] around 8:00 AM and again on the right thigh above her knee at 10:00 AM, when the Resident became combative. Written statements by NA #2, dated [DATE], recorded that on [DATE] at 7:30 AM, NA #2 witnessed Resident #6 become combative during morning care and NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the left side of her face. NA #2 witnessed NA #1 leave the Resident's room and make a statement at the nurse's station that she popped Resident #6. Later in the morning around 9:45 AM on [DATE], while in the shower room, NA #2 witnessed Resident #6 grab the hair of NA #1 and NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the leg. NA #2 then witnessed NA #1 leave the shower room and report the incident to Nurse #1 and Nurse #2. Review of a HCPR 5 Working Day Report dated [DATE], completed by the Administrator, recorded on [DATE] at 10:00 AM, NA #1 immediately reported that she slapped a combative resident on the leg during resident care. The physical abuse was witnessed, NA #1 was immediately suspended, the police was called at 6:00 PM, the allegation of abuse was substantiated and NA #1 was terminated. An interview with NA #2 was conducted on [DATE] at 10:30 AM. NA #2 stated that she received a lot of abuse training recently and knew that if she witnessed abuse she should tell the nurse/supervisor, remove the resident from harm, watch the perpetrator and don't let the perpetrator go into any resident rooms. NA #2 stated that on [DATE] around 7:30 AM Resident #6 would not cooperate with staff and became combative during morning care (kicking, yelling and hitting). NA #2 stated she witnessed Resident #6 hit NA #1 twice, then witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the left side of her face and said You are going to stop that. NA #2 stated the slap was more than just a pat on the face, but it wasn't a hard slap. NA #2 stated Resident #6 was already upset and remained upset. Both NAs continued getting Resident #6 dressed, placed her in her wheelchair and NA #1 took Resident #6 to the dining room. NA #1 stated that on the way to the dining room, NA #1 stopped at the nurse's station and told Nurse #1 I popped (Resident #6) and Nurse #1 said Ok. NA #2 stated that she found out later that Nurse #1 did not hear NA #1's statement. NA #2 stated she felt that when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 that the incident fit the definition of abuse, but that she didn't know how to separate NA #1 from Resident #6. NA #2 further stated she did not think it would happen again and thought Nurse #1 heard NA #1 report the incident and would take care of it. NA #2 further stated that later that morning around 10:00 AM, both NA #1 and NA #2 were toileting Resident #6 in the shower room when the Resident became combative again. During care, NA #1 bent down to pull up the Resident's pants and Resident #6 grabbed NA #1's hair. That's when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on her right thigh. NA #2 stated the slap was loud enough to hear, but she didn't know what to do. Resident #6 released her grip on NA #1 hair and they finished pulling up the Resident's pants, transferred her to the wheelchair and NA #2 took Resident #6 to the dining room. NA #2 stated that she observed NA #1 immediately go to the nurse's station and told Nurse #1 and Nurse #2 that she popped Resident #6 on the leg. Nurse #2 immediately left the unit and Nurse #2 and the DON returned to the unit. NA #2 observed NA #1 talk to the DON and then NA #1 was escorted off the unit. NA #2 stated the DON asked her on [DATE] what happened and she told the DON that NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the left side of her face about 8:00 AM and then on her right thigh about 10:00 AM. NA #2 stated she also informed the police officer on [DATE] when he interviewed her that evening on the phone and the Administrator on [DATE] when she talked to her on the phone. A telephone interview was conducted on [DATE] at 12:55 PM with NA #1. She stated that she worked on the facility's secure unit as her permanent assignment and had recently received abuse training. NA #1 stated she was trained on how to identify abuse and if abuse was witnessed, she should remove the perpetrator from the resident, call law enforcement or the Administrator, and make sure the perpetrator and resident were both watched. NA #1 stated that on, [DATE] Resident #6 slapped her on the face and she responded by gently touching the Resident's face and said Let's don't do that. NA #1 stated I just touched her face with my hand and NA #2 was present. NA #1 stated she went to the nurse's station after providing care to Resident #6 and told Nurse #1 that the Resident was a hand full, but she did not report touching her face because she did not think there was anything to it. NA #1 stated there was no mark left on the Resident's face. NA #1 stated later that morning around 10:00 AM, she and NA #2 were toileting Resident #6 in the shower room and the Resident became combative, worse this time. NA #1 stated that while she was pulling up the Resident's brief, the Resident grabbed her hair, pulling so hard I was up on my tip toes, so I smacked her on the right knee to get her to stop. I said stop and she stopped. NA #1 stated she struck her gently because it was not her nature to hurt anyone. Resident #6 continued yelling and hitting, we got her dressed and took her to the day room. NA #1 stated afterwards, she reported to Nurse #1 and Nurse #2 that she struck Resident #6 on the leg, the DON came and took a statement from her and she was suspended. A telephone interview was conducted on [DATE] at 11:10 AM and a follow up interview was conducted on [DATE] at 3:30 PM with Nurse #1. During the interviews, Nurse #1 stated that on [DATE] there were 14 residents on the secure unit. Around 10:00 or 10:15 AM, NA #1 informed her that while NA #1 and NA #2 provided care to Resident #6 in the shower room, the Resident became combative and grabbed NA #1 by the hair. NA #1 stated she popped Resident #6 to get her to let go. Nurse #1 stated Nurse #2 (supervisor) was also present and heard the conversation. NA #1 stayed at the nurse's station with Nurse #1, while Nurse #2 reported the incident to the DON. The DON came to the unit, took a statement from NA #1 and she was suspended. Nurse #1 stated that NA #1 worked with all residents on the secure unit that day from 7:00 AM until she was suspended</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0223 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 2)</p> <p>around 10:30 AM, but Nurse #1 was not aware of any prior incidents of abuse regarding NA #1. Nurse #1 stated she had not been informed that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face earlier that morning. Nurse #1 stated Resident #6 was combative at times, usually required 2 staff to give her care. Nurse #1 stated that staff were trained that when residents became combative, staff should give the resident time to calm down, try to redirect and come back later to provide care. Nurse #1 stated Resident #6 was very combative that day and received [MEDICATION NAME] (as needed) twice on her shift that day for agitation and later for pain. Nurse #1 stated Resident #6 did not cooperate initially with a skin assessment, and [MEDICATION NAME] was given to calm her down. Once Resident #6 was calm, a full body skin assessment was completed, around 12:30 PM and she was noted with a reddened area to her right thigh about 3 inches long and irregular in shape. Nurse #1 stated there were no other changes noted to her skin or face.</p> <p>The Administrator was interviewed on [DATE] at 3:52 PM. She stated that the DON informed her around lunch time on [DATE] that NA #1 popped Resident #6 on the knee in the shower room and reported herself. The DON told the Administrator that NA #1 was suspended and that she had started completing interviews for the investigation. The Administrator called the police around 6:00 PM. The Administrator stated she went to see Resident #6 for the first time that day around 7:00 PM when the police officer arrived. Both she and the police officer observed Resident #6 without any marks to either thigh. The Administrator stated later that evening, around 9:00 PM, the police officer called her and asked if she knew about another incident of abuse that happened earlier that day, but the Administrator stated she was not aware and she had not looked at the DON's investigation. The Administrator stated she called NA #2 sometime the next morning on [DATE] and obtained a statement from her over the phone regarding both incidents of physical abuse that were witnessed by NA #2 on [DATE] and reported to the DON. The Administrator asked NA #2 to provide written statements about what she saw.</p> <p>Nurse #2 was interviewed on [DATE] at 10:28 AM. Nurse #2 stated she was the Nurse Supervisor on the 7AM - 3 PM shift on [DATE]. Nurse #2 and Nurse #1 were both at the nurse's station on the secure unit on [DATE] around 10:00 AM when NA #1 said I just want everybody to know that I just popped (named Resident). NA #1 proceeded to say that she popped Resident #6 because the Resident pulled her hair. Nurse #2 stated she asked NA #1 to stay at the nurse's station. Nurse #2 went to find the DON and report what occurred. The DON came to the secure unit, obtained a statement from NA #1 and she was suspended. Nurse #2 stated she was not aware of any previous incidents of abuse between NA #1 and Resident #6.</p> <p>Attempts to interview the DON were unsuccessful.</p> <p>The administrator was notified of immediate jeopardy on [DATE] at 5:27 PM.</p> <p>1) Hire only people with no legal history of abusing, neglecting or mistreating residents; or 2) report and investigate any acts or reports of abuse, neglect or mistreatment of residents.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**</p> <p>Based on staff interviews and record review, the staff failed to immediately notify administrative staff of a witnessed incident of physical abuse in which a resident was slapped on the face. Once notified, the facility failed to report the incident of physical abuse to the Health Care Personnel Registry in 24 hours and the investigative findings in 5 working days for 1 of 1 sampled residents. (Resident #6).</p> <p>Immediate Jeopardy began on [DATE] when Nurse Aide (NA) #2 failed to immediately report that she witnessed physical abuse to a combative resident (Resident #6) on the secure unit which resulted in further physical abuse. NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face, but did not immediately report the abuse or protect the Resident from further abuse. NA #1 remained on the secure unit, working unsupervised and was witnessed again on [DATE], by NA #2, to slap Resident #6 on the right thigh. The facility failed to report physical abuse to the Health Care Personnel Registry within 24 hours and the investigation of the physical abuse within 5 working days.</p> <p>The immediate jeopardy is present and ongoing.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>Resident #6 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. Resident #6 was currently being followed and treated by ongoing psychiatric services.</p> <p>A quarterly Minimum (MDS) data set [DATE] assessed Resident #6 with severely impaired cognition, required extensive staff assistance of 2 persons for activities of daily living (ADL) to include mobility, transfers, dressing and toileting, physically and verbally abusive and without impairments in range of motion.</p> <p>A nursing progress note dated [DATE] at 4:56 PM written by Nurse #1 recorded that nursing assistant (NA #1) verbalized she slapped Resident #6 on her right thigh during care when the Resident pulled her hair.</p> <p>A Skin Monitoring Review dated [DATE], completed by Nurse #1, and an incident report dated [DATE] completed by the Director of Nursing (DON) recorded that Resident #6 had redness to her inner thighs and an irregular reddened area, approximately 3 inches long to the front of her upper right thigh.</p> <p>A written statement by NA #1 dated [DATE] recorded that NA #1 struck Resident #6 on her leg on [DATE] around 10:00 AM when Resident #6 become combative and pulled the hair of NA #1.</p> <p>A Consultation Report dated [DATE], completed by the DON, recorded a concern that NA #2 did not report abuse immediately, remove the employee from an abuse situation and report to another supervisor when the charge nurse was advised of abuse, but did not respond.</p> <p>Review of a Health Care Personnel Registry (HCPR) 24 Hour Initial Report dated [DATE] completed by the Administrator, recorded that on [DATE] at 10:00 AM, NA #1 stated she struck Resident #6 on her leg to stop the Resident from pulling her hair. NA #1 was immediately suspended. The report did not include the physical abuse that occurred on [DATE] at 8:00 AM.</p> <p>Review of the facility's investigation revealed a written statement by the Administrator, dated [DATE], which recorded that she spoke to the Deputy Sheriff on [DATE] around 9:00 PM and was asked if she was aware that NA #2 also witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face on [DATE] at about 8:00 AM. The written statement recorded that the Administrator was not aware. The Administrator documented that she spoke to NA #2 on [DATE] and was informed that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face on [DATE] around 8:00 AM and again on the right thigh above her knee at 10:00 AM, when the Resident became combative.</p> <p>Written statements by NA #2, dated [DATE], recorded that on [DATE] at 7:30 AM, NA #2 witnessed Resident #6 become combative during morning care and NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the left side of her face. NA #2 witnessed NA #1 leave the Resident's room and make a statement at the nurse's station that she popped Resident #6. NA #2 stated that she did not report the witnessed physical abuse against Resident #6 because she thought the nursing staff heard NA #1's statement. Later in the morning around 9:45 AM on [DATE], while in the shower room, NA #2 witnessed Resident #6 grab the hair of NA #1 and NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the leg. NA #2 then witnessed NA #1 leave the shower room and report the incident to Nurse #1 and Nurse #2. NA #2 stated she did not report the witnessed incident of staff to resident physical abuse at this time.</p> <p>Written statements by Nurse #1 dated [DATE] and the Administrator dated [DATE] both recorded that Nurse #1 stated she was not made aware that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the left side of her face on [DATE] at 8:00 AM. NA #1 did self-report that she popped Resident #6 on the right thigh on [DATE] around 10:00 AM.</p> <p>Review of a HCPR 5 Working Day Report dated [DATE], completed by the Administrator, recorded on [DATE] at 10:00 AM, NA #1 immediately reported that she slapped a combative resident on the leg during resident care. The physical abuse was witnessed, NA #1 was immediately suspended, the police was called at 6:00 PM, the allegation of abuse was substantiated and NA #1 was terminated. The report did not include the witnessed physical abuse that occurred on [DATE] around 8:00 AM.</p> <p>An interview with NA #2 was conducted on [DATE] at 10:30 AM. NA #2 stated that she received a lot of abuse training recently and knew that if she witnessed abuse she should tell the nurse/supervisor, remove the resident from harm, watch the perpetrator and don't let the perpetrator go into any resident rooms. NA #2 stated that on [DATE] around 7:30 AM Resident #6 would not cooperate with staff and became combative during morning care (kicking, yelling and hitting). NA #2 stated she witnessed Resident #6 hit NA #1 twice, then witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the left side of her face and said You are going to stop that. NA #2 stated the slap was more than just a pat on the face, but it wasn't a hard slap. NA #2 stated Resident #6 was already upset and remained upset. Both NAs continued getting Resident #6 dressed, placed her in her wheelchair and NA #1 took Resident #6 to the dining room. NA #1 stated that on the way to the dining room, NA #1 stopped at</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0225 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 3)</p> <p>the nurse's station and told Nurse #1 I popped (Resident #6) and Nurse #1 said Ok. NA #2 stated that she found out later that Nurse #1 did not hear NA #1's statement. NA #2 stated she felt that when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 that the incident fit the definition of abuse, but that she didn't know how to separate NA #1 from Resident #6. NA #2 further stated she did not think it would happen again and thought Nurse #1 heard NA #1 report the incident and would take care of it. NA #2 further stated that later that morning around 10:00 AM, both NA #1 and NA #2 were toileting Resident #6 in the shower room when the Resident became combative again. During care, NA #1 bent down to pull up the Resident's pants and Resident #6 grabbed NA #1's hair. That's when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on her right thigh. NA #2 stated the slap was loud enough to hear, but she didn't know what to do. Resident #6 released her grip on NA #1 hair and they finished pulling up the Resident's pants, transferred her to the wheelchair and NA #2 took Resident #6 to the dining room. NA #2 stated that she observed NA #1 immediately go to the nurse's station and told Nurse #1 and Nurse #2 that she popped Resident #6 on the leg. Nurse #2 immediately left the unit and returned to the unit with the DON. NA #2 observed NA #1 talk to the DON and then NA #1 was escorted off the unit. NA #2 stated the DON asked her on [DATE] what happened and she told the DON that NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the left side of her face about 8:00 AM and then on her right thigh about 10:00 AM. NA #2 stated she also informed the police officer on [DATE] when he interviewed her that evening on the phone and the Administrator on [DATE] when she talked to her on the phone.</p> <p>A telephone interview was conducted on [DATE] at 12:55 PM with NA #1. She stated that she worked on the facility's secure unit as her permanent assignment and had recently received abuse training. NA #1 stated she was trained on how to identify abuse and if abuse was witnessed, she should remove the perpetrator from the resident, call law enforcement or the Administrator, and make sure the perpetrator and resident were both watched. NA #1 stated that on, [DATE] Resident #6 slapped her in the face and she responded by gently touching the Resident's face and said Let's don't do that. NA #1 stated I just touched her face with my hand and NA #2 was present. NA #1 stated she went to the nurse's station after providing care to Resident #6 and told Nurse #1 that the Resident was a hand full, but she did not report touching her face because she did not think there was anything to it. NA #1 stated there was no mark left on the Resident's face. NA #1 stated later that morning around 10:00 AM, she and NA #2 were toileting Resident #6 in the shower room and the Resident became combative, worse this time. NA #1 stated that while she was pulling up the Resident's brief, the Resident grabbed her hair, pulling so hard I was up on my tip toes, so I smacked her on the right knee to get her to stop, I said stop and she stopped. NA #1 stated she struck her gently because it was not her nature to hurt anyone. Resident #6 continued yelling and hitting, we got her dressed and took her to the day room. NA #1 stated afterwards, she reported to Nurse #1 and Nurse #2 that she struck Resident #6 on the leg, the DON came and took a statement from her and she was suspended.</p> <p>A telephone interview was conducted on [DATE] at 11:10 AM and a follow up interview was conducted on [DATE] at 3:30 PM with Nurse #1. During the interviews, Nurse #1 stated that on [DATE] there were 14 residents on the secure unit. Around 10:00 or 10:15 AM, NA #1 informed her that while NA #1 and NA #2 provided care to Resident #6 in the shower room, the Resident became combative and grabbed NA #1 by the hair. NA #1 stated she popped Resident #6 to get her to let go. Nurse #1 stated Nurse #2 (supervisor) was also present and heard the conversation. NA #1 stayed at the nurse's station with Nurse #1, while Nurse #2 reported the incident to the DON. The DON came to the unit, took a statement from NA #1 and she was suspended. Nurse #1 stated that NA #1 worked with all residents on the secure unit that day from 7:00 AM until she was suspended around 10:30 AM, but Nurse #1 was not aware of any prior incidents of abuse regarding NA #1. Nurse #1 stated she had not been informed that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face earlier that morning.</p> <p>The Administrator was interviewed on [DATE] at 3:52 PM. She stated that the DON informed her around lunch time on [DATE] that NA #1 popped Resident #6 on the knee in the shower room and reported herself. The DON told the Administrator that NA #1 was suspended and that she had started completing interviews for the investigation. The Administrator continued working in her office and sometime before 4:00 PM she obtained the necessary information from the DON to complete the HCPR 24 Hour Initial Report. The DON left for the day around 4:00 PM, but informed the Administrator before she left that she had obtained all the written statements and interviews. The Administrator did not review the investigation before the DON left because she thought the DON had done everything. The Administrator called law enforcement around 6:00 PM. The Administrator stated she went to see Resident #6 for the first time that day around 7:00 PM when law enforcement arrived. Both she and the law enforcement observed Resident #6 without any marks to either thigh. The Administrator stated later that evening, around 9:00 PM, law enforcement called her and asked if she knew about another incident of abuse that happened earlier that day, but the Administrator stated she was not aware and she had not looked at the DON's investigation. The Administrator stated she called NA #2 sometime the next morning on [DATE] and obtained a statement from her over the phone regarding both incidents of physical abuse that were witnessed by NA #2 on [DATE] and reported to the DON. The Administrator asked NA #2 to provide written statements about what she saw. The Administrator stated she completed/faxed the HCPR 24 Hour Initial Report on [DATE] and the 5 Day Working Report on [DATE], but she did not report the incident of physical abuse that occurred on [DATE] around 8:00 AM because it was included in her investigation. The Administrator further said that now she realized that both incidents of physical abuse should have been reported to the HCPR.</p> <p>Nurse #2 was interviewed on [DATE] at 10:28 AM. Nurse #2 stated she was the Nurse Supervisor on the 7AM - 3PM shift on [DATE]. Nurse #2 and Nurse #1 were both at the nurse's station on the secure unit on [DATE] around 10:00 AM when NA #1 said I just want everybody to know that I just popped (named Resident). NA #1 proceeded to say that she popped Resident #6 because the Resident pulled her hair. Nurse #2 stated she asked NA #1 to stay at the nurse's station. Nurse #2 went to find the DON and report what occurred. The DON came to the secure unit, obtained a statement from NA #1 and she was suspended. Nurse #2 stated she was not aware of any previous incidents of abuse between NA #1 and Resident #6.</p> <p>Attempts to interview the DON were unsuccessful.</p> <p>The administrator was notified of immediate jeopardy on [DATE] at 5:27 PM.</p>		
F 0226 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>Develop policies that prevent mistreatment, neglect, or abuse of residents or theft of resident property.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**</p> <p>Based on staff interviews and record review, the facility failed to immediately stop nursing care when a resident (Resident #6) became combative to protect the resident and prevent an incident of physical abuse, intervene when physical abuse was observed, and immediately remove the perpetrator from a combative resident on a secure unit. The facility failed to report a witnessed incident of physical abuse to the Health Care Personnel Registry in 24 hours and the investigation in 5 working days. The facility failed to follow their abuse policy and procedures in the areas of prevention, protection, identification, training and reporting of physical abuse for 1 of 1 abuse investigation reviewed.</p> <p>Immediate Jeopardy began on [DATE] when Nurse Aide (NA) #1 slapped Resident #6 on the face when the Resident became combative during nursing care. NA #2 witnessed the physical abuse but did not immediately intervene or report to administrative staff. This resulted in a lack of protection to Resident #6 and other residents which led to a second incident of physical abuse toward Resident #6. NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face during morning care, but did not immediately report the abuse. NA #1 remained on the secure unit, working unsupervised and several hours later on [DATE], NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the right thigh during the provision of care. The facility failed to report physical abuse to the Health Care Personnel Registry within 24 hours and the investigation of the physical abuse within 5 working days.</p> <p>The immediate jeopardy is present and ongoing</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>The facility's policy Abuse, Neglect, or Misappropriation of Resident Property Policy, revised [DATE], included in part: The facility will do whatever is in its control to prevent mistreatment, neglect, and abuse of our residents or misappropriation of their property. Any employee who witnesses or suspects that abuse, neglect, or misappropriation of property has occurred, will immediately report the alleged incident to their supervisor, who will immediately report the incident to the Administrator. Measures will be initiated to prevent any further potential abuse while the investigation is in progress. The Administrator is responsible to review the results of the investigation and report the alleged incident to the appropriate agencies in accordance with State and Federal regulations. The Administrator is responsible to direct the investigation process to ensure that appropriate agencies are notified, as indicated. Training: Training programs may</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0226 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 4)</p> <p>include: Indicators of resident vulnerability to abuse and related interventions. Prevention: The facility will provide supervision to staff to identify inappropriate behaviors, such as rough handling. The facility will assess, care plan, and monitor residents with needs and behaviors that might lead to abuse, neglect, or misappropriation of property. Protection: Employees accused of being directly involved in allegations of abuse, neglect, or misappropriation of property will be suspended immediately from employment pending the outcome of the investigation.</p> <p>Review of the facility's Abuse, Neglect or Misappropriation of Resident Property policy revealed a definition of physical abuse was not included.</p> <p>Resident #6 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. Resident #6 was currently being treated and followed by ongoing psychiatric services.</p> <p>Medical record review revealed Resident #6 had physician orders [REDACTED].</p> <p>A quarterly Minimum (MDS) data set [DATE] assessed Resident #6 with severely impaired cognition, required extensive staff assistance of 2 persons for activities of daily living (ADL) to include mobility, transfers, dressing and toileting, physically and verbally abusive and without impairments in range of motion.</p> <p>A progress note dated [DATE] by the nurse practitioner (NP) revealed Resident #6 was referred by nursing for evaluation of morning agitation and persevering behaviors. Nursing reported that Resident #6 was noted increasingly agitated primarily in the morning. The progress note recorded that Resident #6 was noted by the NP to be very agitated, angry, and confused. Medications were adjusted and staff were to continue to monitor.</p> <p>A Skin Monitoring Review for Resident #6 dated [DATE] did not record any changes or concerns with skin integrity.</p> <p>Review of a nursing progress note dated [DATE] at 4:49 PM by Nurse #1 and the February 2016 Medication Administration Record [REDACTED]. Nurse #1 documented that she administered [MEDICATION NAME] 1 mg by mouth as needed for agitation at 07:40 AM with some positive effects and then [MEDICATION NAME] 2 mg IM as needed for pain at 12:10 PM with slight positive effects.</p> <p>A nursing progress note dated [DATE] at 4:56 PM by Nurse #1 recorded that nursing assistant (NA #1) verbalized she slapped Resident #6 during care when the Resident pulled her hair. The Medical Director was notified and assessed the Resident. Nurse #1 performed a full body assessment for Resident #6 and noted a deep reddened area to the Resident's right upper thigh.</p> <p>A Skin Monitoring Review dated [DATE], completed by Nurse #1, recorded that Resident #6 had redness to her inner thighs and an irregular reddened area, approximately 3 inches long to the front of her upper right thigh.</p> <p>An incident report dated [DATE] at 5:03 PM completed by the Director of Nursing (DON), recorded that NA #1 stated she struck Resident #6 on the leg and afterwards the Resident was noted with red marks on the right thigh.</p> <p>A written statement by NA #1 dated [DATE] recorded that NA #1 struck Resident #6 on her leg on [DATE] around 10:00 AM when Resident #6 become combative and pulled the hair of NA #1. A Disciplinary Warning Notice dated [DATE], completed by the DON and signed by NA #1, recorded that NA #1 was suspended for an inappropriate way of dealing with a resident behavior.</p> <p>A Consultation Report dated [DATE], completed by the DON, recorded a concern that NA #2 did not report abuse immediately, remove the employee from an abuse situation and report to another supervisor when the charge nurse was advised of abuse, but did not respond.</p> <p>Review of a Health Care Personnel Registry (HCPR) 24 Hour Initial Report dated [DATE] completed by the Administrator, recorded that on [DATE] at 10:00 AM, NA #1 stated she struck Resident #6 on her leg to stop the Resident from pulling her hair. Resident #6 was noted with a red mark on her upper right thigh. NA #1 was immediately suspended. The report did not include the incident of physical abuse that occurred on [DATE] at 8:00 AM.</p> <p>Review of the facility's investigation revealed a written statement by the Administrator, dated [DATE], which recorded that she spoke to the law enforcement on [DATE] around 9:00 PM and was asked if she was aware that NA #2 also witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on [DATE] about 8:00 AM. The written statement recorded that the Administrator was not aware. The Administrator documented that she spoke to NA #2 on [DATE] and was informed that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face on [DATE] around 8:00 AM and again on the right thigh above her knee at 10:00 AM, when the Resident became combative.</p> <p>Written statements by NA #2, dated [DATE], recorded that on [DATE] at 7:30 AM, NA #2 witnessed Resident #6 become combative during morning care and NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the left side of her face. NA #2 witnessed NA #1 leave the Resident's room and make a statement at the nurse's station that she popped Resident #6. NA #2 did not report the witnessed physical abuse against Resident #6 because she thought the nursing staff heard NA #1's statement. Later in the morning around 9:45 AM on [DATE], while in the shower room, NA #2 witnessed Resident #6 grab the hair of NA #1 and NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the leg. NA #2 then witnessed NA #1 leave the shower room and report the incident to Nurse #1 and Nurse #2.</p> <p>Written statements by Nurse #1 dated [DATE] and the Administrator dated [DATE] both recorded that Nurse #1 stated she was not made aware that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the left side of her face on [DATE] at 8:00 AM. NA #1 did self-report that she popped Resident #6 on the right thigh on [DATE] around 10:00 AM.</p> <p>Review of a HCPR 5 Working Day Report dated [DATE], completed by the Administrator, recorded on [DATE] at 10:00 AM, NA #1 immediately reported that she slapped a combative resident on the leg during resident care and immediately knew what she did was wrong. The physical abuse was witnessed, NA #1 was immediately suspended, law enforcement was called at 6:00 PM, the allegation of abuse was substantiated and NA #1 was terminated. The report did not include the witnessed physical abuse that occurred on [DATE] at 8:00 AM.</p> <p>An interview with NA #2 was conducted on [DATE] at 10:30 AM. NA #2 stated that she received a lot of abuse training recently and knew that if she witnessed abuse she should tell the nurse/supervisor, remove the resident from harm, watch the perpetrator and don't let the perpetrator go into any resident rooms. NA #2 stated that on [DATE] around 7:30 AM Resident #6 would not cooperate with staff and became combative during morning care (kicking, yelling and hitting). NA #2 stated she witnessed Resident #6 hit NA #1 twice, then witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the left side of her face and said You are going to stop that. NA #2 stated the slap was more than just a pat on the face, but it wasn't a hard slap. NA #2 stated Resident #6 was already upset and remained upset. Both NAs continued getting Resident #6 dressed, placed her in her wheelchair and NA #1 took Resident #6 to the dining room. NA #1 stated that on the way to the dining room, NA #1 stopped at the nurse's station and told Nurse #1 I popped (Resident #6) and Nurse #1 said Ok. NA #2 stated that she found out later that Nurse #1 did not hear NA #1's statement. NA #2 stated she felt that when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 that the incident fit the definition of abuse, but that she didn't know how to separate NA #1 from Resident #6. NA #2 further stated she did not think it would happen again and thought Nurse #1 heard NA #1 report the incident and would take care of it. NA #2 further stated that later that morning around 10:00 AM, both NA #1 and NA #2 were toileting Resident #6 in the shower room when the Resident became combative again. During care, NA #1 bent down to pull up the Resident's pants and Resident #6 grabbed NA #1's hair. That's when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on her right thigh. NA #2 stated the slap was loud enough to hear, but she didn't know what to do. Resident #6 released her grip on NA #1 hair and they finished pulling up the Resident's pants, transferred her to the wheelchair and NA #2 took Resident #6 to the dining room. NA #2 stated that she observed NA #1 immediately go to the nurse's station and told Nurse #1 and Nurse #2 that she popped Resident #6 on the leg.</p> <p>Nurse #2 immediately left the unit and returned to the unit with the DON. NA #2 observed the DON talk to NA #1 and NA #1 was escorted off the unit. NA #2 stated the DON asked her on [DATE] what happened and she told the DON that NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the left side of her face about 8:00 AM and then on her right thigh about 10:00 AM. NA #2 stated she also informed law enforcement on [DATE] when he interviewed her that evening on the phone and the Administrator on [DATE] when she talked to her on the phone.</p> <p>A telephone interview was conducted on [DATE] at 12:55 PM with NA #1. She stated that she worked on the facility's secure unit as her permanent assignment and had recently received abuse training. NA #1 stated she was trained on how to identify abuse and if abuse was witnessed, she should remove the perpetrator from the resident, call law enforcement or the Administrator, and make sure the perpetrator and resident were both watched. NA #1 stated that on, [DATE] Resident #6 slapped her on the face and she responded by gently touching the Resident's face and said Let's don't do that. NA #1 stated I just touched her face with my hand and NA #2 was present. NA #1 stated she went to the nurse's station after providing care to Resident #6 and told Nurse #1 that the Resident was a hand full, but she did not report touching her face because she did not think there was anything to it. NA #1 stated there was no mark left on the Resident's face. NA #1 stated later that morning around 10:00 AM, she and NA #2 were toileting Resident #6 in the shower room and the Resident became combative, worse this time. NA #1 stated that while she was pulling up the Resident's brief, the Resident grabbed her hair, pulling so hard I was up on my tip toes, so I smacked her on the right knee to get her to stop, I said stop and she</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0226 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 5)</p> <p>stopped. NA #1 stated she struck her gently because it was not her nature to hurt anyone. Resident #6 continued yelling and hitting, we got her dressed and took her to the day room. NA #1 stated afterwards, she reported to Nurse #1 and Nurse #2 that she struck Resident #6 on the leg, the DON came and took a statement from her and she was suspended.</p> <p>A telephone interview was conducted on [DATE] at 11:10 AM and a follow up interview was conducted on [DATE] at 3:30 PM with Nurse #1. During the interviews, Nurse #1 stated that on [DATE] there were 14 residents on the secure unit. Around 10:00 or 10:15 AM, NA #1 informed her that while NA #1 and NA #2 provided care to Resident #6 in the shower room, the Resident became combative and grabbed NA #1 by the hair. NA #1 stated she popped Resident #6 to get her to let go. Nurse #1 stated Nurse #2 (supervisor) was also present and heard the conversation. NA #1 stayed at the nurse's station with Nurse #1, while Nurse #2 reported the incident to the DON. The DON came to the unit, took a statement from NA #1 and she was suspended. Nurse #1 stated that NA #1 worked with all residents on the secure unit that day from 7:00 AM until she was suspended around 10:30 AM, but Nurse #1 was not aware of any prior incidents of abuse regarding NA #1. Nurse #1 stated she had not been informed that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face earlier that morning. Nurse #1 stated Resident #6 was combative at times, usually required 2 staff to give her care. Nurse #1 stated that staff were trained that when residents became combative, staff should give the resident time to calm down, try to redirect and come back later to provide care. Nurse #1 stated Resident #6 was very combative that day and received [MEDICATION NAME] (as needed) twice on her shift that day for agitation and later for pain. Nurse #1 stated Resident #6 did not cooperate initially with a skin assessment, and [MEDICATION NAME] was given to calm her down. Once Resident #6 was calm, a full body skin assessment was completed, around 12:30 PM and she was noted with a reddened area to her right thigh about 3 inches long and irregular in shape. Nurse #1 stated there were no other changes noted to her skin or face.</p> <p>The Administrator was interviewed on [DATE] at 3:52 PM. She stated that the DON informed her around lunch time on [DATE] that NA #1 popped Resident #6 on the knee in the shower room and reported herself. The DON told the Administrator that NA #1 was suspended and that she had started completing interviews for the investigation. The Administrator continued working in her office and sometime before 4:00 PM she obtained the necessary information from the DON to complete the HCPR 24 Hour Initial Report. The DON left for the day around 4:00 PM, but informed the Administrator before she left that she had obtained all the written statements and interviews. The Administrator did not review the investigation before the DON left because she thought the DON had done everything. The Administrator called law enforcement around 6:00 PM. The Administrator stated she went to see Resident #6 for the first time that day around 7:00 PM when law enforcement arrived. Both she and law enforcement observed Resident #6 without any marks to either thigh. The Administrator stated later that evening, around 9:00 PM, law enforcement called her and asked if she knew about another incident of abuse that happened earlier that day, but the Administrator stated she was not aware and she had not looked at the DON's investigation. The Administrator stated she called NA #2 sometime the next morning on [DATE] and obtained a statement from her over the phone regarding both incidents of physical abuse that were witnessed by NA #2 and reported to the DON. The Administrator asked NA #2 to provide written statements about what she saw. The Administrator stated she completed/faxed the HCPR 24 Hour Initial Report on [DATE] and the 5 Day Working Report on [DATE], but she did not complete a separate report for the incident of physical abuse that occurred on [DATE] around 8:00 AM because it was included in her investigation. The Administrator further said that now she realized that both incidents of physical abuse should have been reported to the HCPR.</p> <p>Nurse #2 was interviewed on [DATE] at 10:28 AM. Nurse #2 stated she was the Nurse Supervisor on the 7AM - 3PM shift on [DATE]. Nurse #2 and Nurse #1 were both at the nurse's station on the secure unit on [DATE] around 10:00 AM when NA #1 said I just want everybody to know that I just popped (named Resident). NA #1 proceeded to say that she popped Resident #6 because the Resident pulled her hair. Nurse #2 stated she asked NA #1 to stay at the nurse's station. Nurse #2 went to find the DON and report what occurred. The DON came to the secure unit, obtained a statement from NA #1 and she was suspended. Nurse #2 stated she was not aware of any previous incidents of abuse between NA #1 and Resident #6.</p> <p>Attempts to interview the DON were unsuccessful.</p> <p>The administrator was notified of immediate jeopardy on [DATE] at 5:27 PM</p>		
F 0242 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	<p>Make sure each resident has the right to have a choice over activities, their schedules and health care according to his or her interests, assessment, and plan of care.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**</p> <p>Based record reviews, resident and staff interviews the facility failed to assess and honor the choice of bathing frequency for 1 of 3 residents sampled for choices. (Resident #24)</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>Resident #24 was readmitted to the facility on [DATE] with [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. Review of the most recent comprehensive significant change minimum data set (MDS) dated [DATE] indicated that it was very important to Resident #24 to choose between a tub bath, shower, bed bath, or sponge bath. This MDS also indicated Resident #24 required total assistance of two person for bathing. The MDS further indicated no behaviors were identified.</p> <p>Review of Resident #24's care guide dated 12/2015 that was kept in his closet in his room did not identify his bathing schedule or preferences.</p> <p>Review of a care plan dated 12/18/15 read in part that Resident #24 required assistance to restore maximum function of self-sufficiency for bathing related to impaired mobility and physical limitations. The goal of said care plan stated that Resident #24 would be neat, clean, and odor free through the next review period. Interventions included: one person to provide some physical assistance with bathing and encourage Resident #24 to participate in self-care as ability permitted.</p> <p>Review of the facility's master shower schedule revealed Resident #24's bed baths were scheduled on Wednesdays and Saturdays on second shift.</p> <p>Review of the facility's bathing log dated 01/28/16 through 02/24/16 for Resident #24 revealed that Resident #24 had received no type of bathing or bathing assistance for 21 of the last 30 days.</p> <p>Interview with Resident #24 on 02/23/16 at 3:02 PM revealed that he was waiting to get his bath for the day. Resident #24 stated that he had not been washed up at all and was waiting on the nursing assistant (NA) to come and wash him. Resident #24 also stated that he did not take showers due to chronic pain, so he took bed baths on Tuesdays and Thursdays when the hospice staff came and assisted him. Resident #24 stated that on the days that hospice is not there, the facility staff is supposed to wash me up and they do not. Resident #24 further stated that he would be happy with 3 bed baths per week.</p> <p>Interview with NA #4 on 02/24/16 at 8:49 AM revealed she was taking care of Resident #24 and that hospice staff completed his bed baths on Tuesdays and Fridays she believed but was not sure. NA #4 stated that Resident #24 was scheduled to receive a bed bath today but she was not sure if he would get one because he was on hospice services. NA #4 was not aware of Resident #24's bathing preference in regards to frequency, NA #4 just knew that hospice provided bed baths twice a week.</p> <p>In a follow up interview with Resident #24 on 02/24/16 at 8:57 AM he stated that he had finally received his bed bath yesterday at 4:00 PM when the hospice staff came to do it. Resident #24 also stated that the NA had shaved him yesterday while doing his bed bath, and that he had trimmed his own nails. Resident #24 stated that the only bed baths he received were the ones that hospice staff provided for him on Tuesdays and Thursdays, and this really bothered him because when he was able he took a shower once a day.</p> <p>Interview with NA #5 on 02/24/16 at 9:48 AM revealed that she was a part of the shower team and that Resident #24 was showered once a week on Saturday and that hospice staff came on Tuesdays and Thursdays but was not aware of whether Resident #24 received a bed bath or a shower. NA #5 was not aware of whether Resident #24 was actually showered on Saturdays, she was aware that was his scheduled shower day, NA#5 was not aware of Resident #24's bathing preferences in regards to frequency or preference of bed bath due to severe pain.</p> <p>Interview with the interim Director of Nursing (DON) on 02/24/16 at 5:51 PM revealed that on admission showers/bed baths are assigned by room number and if the resident wanted something different they needed to let the staff know and they would rearrange their shower schedule. The interim DON stated she thought the Admissions Director asked choices for laundry and hair services but that bathing preferences were not obtained to her knowledge. She further stated the resident could request a change in the shower/bathing schedule but if they did not request a change then the resident would stay on the schedule that was assigned by room number. The interim DON was new to this role and was not aware that preferences on activities and care needed to be obtained from each resident.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0242 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few F 0253 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Some	<p>(continued... from page 6)</p> <p>Interview with the Admission Director on 02/25/16 at 10:46 AM revealed bathing preferences were obtained every quarter when they interviewed 10% of the residents for satisfaction on facility practices. Admission Director was unable to provide any record of Resident #24's satisfaction survey being completed in the last year which would have included bathing preferences.</p> <p>Provide housekeeping and maintenance services.</p> <p>Based on observations and staff interviews, the facility failed to maintain clean wheelchairs for 7 of 11 wheelchairs on the 200 and 300 halls, for 2 of 6 halls (Rooms 201 B, 202 A, 202 B, 203 A, 204 B, 300 and 305 B). The findings included: On 2/22/2016 at 10:25 AM and on 2/24/2016 at 9:55 AM, tour of the facility's 200 and 300 halls was conducted. The wheel chairs of the residents in rooms 201 B, 202 A, 202 B, 203 A, 204 B, 300 and 305 B were observed to be dirty with dried food particles, food crumbs and dark colored spots. On 2/22/2016 at 10:25 AM, an observation of the wheelchair, which belonged to the resident who resided in room 204 B revealed the wheelchair's seat and frame was dirty with food particles and dirt and dust. Spots of dirt and food observed on the wheelchair were dried and dusty. On 2/22/2016 at 10:27 AM, an observation of the wheelchair, which belonged to the resident who resided in room 202 A revealed the wheelchair's seat and frame was dirty with food particles, dirt and dust. Spots of dirt and food observed on the wheelchair were dried and dusty. On 2/22/2016 at 10:27 AM, an observation of the wheelchair, which belonged to the resident who resided in room 202 B revealed the wheelchair's seat and frame was dirty with food particles, dirt and dust. Spots of dirt and food observed on the wheelchair were dried and dusty. On 2/22/2016 at 11:32 AM, an observation of the wheelchair, which belonged to the resident who resided in room 300 revealed the wheelchair's seat and frame was dirty with food particles, dirt and dust. Spots of dirt and food observed on the wheelchair were dried and dusty. On 2/22/2016 at 11:40 AM, an observation of the wheel chair which belonged to the resident who resided in room 203 A revealed dried hard dirt along the wheelchair's frame and food particles in the seat of the wheelchair. On 2/22/2016 at 11:53 AM, an observation of the wheelchair which belonged to the resident who resided in room 305 B revealed the wheelchair's seat and frame was dirty with food particles, dirt and dust. Spots of dirt and food observed on the wheelchair were dried and dusty. On 2/24/2016 at 9:56 AM, an observation of the wheelchair which belonged to the resident who resided in room 201 B revealed the wheelchair's frame and seat cushion was dirty with dried dirt, dust and food particles along the edges of the seat cushion. On 2/24/2016 at 9:56 AM, an observation of the wheelchair which belonged to the resident who resided in room 203 A revealed the wheelchair's seat and frame was dirty with food particles, dirt and dust. Spots of dirt and food observed on the wheelchair were dried and dusty. On 2/24/2016 at 9:58 AM, an observation of the wheelchair which belonged to the resident who resided in room 300 revealed the wheelchair's seat and frame was dirty with food particles, dirt and dust. Spots of dirt and food observed on the wheelchair were dried and dusty. On 2/24/2016 at 9:58 AM, an observation of the wheelchair which belonged to the resident who resided in room 305 B revealed the wheelchair's seat and frame was dirty with food particles, dirt and dust. Spots of dirt and food observed on the wheelchair were dried and dusty. On 2/25/2016 at 8:41 AM, environmental rounds were conducted with the maintenance director and the housekeeping supervisor on the 200 and 300 halls to observe the wheelchairs for rooms 201 B, 202 A, 202 B, 203 A, 204 B, 300 and 305 B. The housekeeping supervisor stated that housekeeping was responsible for cleaning wheelchairs. He stated that wheel chairs were to be cleaned on a routine schedule every Tuesday. He stated that the wheelchairs were to be brought out to the rear of the building by the nursing staff and then the housekeeping staff would high power wash them and sanitize them and return them to the resident's room. The housekeeping supervisor stated that he had no specific cleaning schedules for specific halls or rooms and no specific notification of a wheelchair cleaning schedule was in place.</p>		
F 0282 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>Provide care by qualified persons according to each resident's written plan of care. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**</p> <p>Based on staff interviews and record review, the facility failed to follow the plan of care when a resident became combative during nursing care. Staff failed to immediately stop nursing care when the resident became combative and approach the resident at a later time. This resulted in 2 incidents of physical abuse for 1 of 3 sampled residents reviewed with care plans which addressed problematic behaviors (Resident #6). Immediate Jeopardy began on 02/16/16 when Nurse Aide (NA) #1 slapped a combative resident on the face and again on the right thigh (Resident #6). Each incident of physical abuse occurred on the secure unit and was witnessed by NA #2. NA #2 did not immediately intervene to protect Resident #6 and other residents on the secure unit from physical abuse. Resident #6 was assessed with [REDACTED]. The immediate jeopardy is present and ongoing. The findings included: Resident #6 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. Resident #6 was currently being treated and followed by ongoing psychiatric services. Medical record review revealed Resident #6 had physician orders [REDACTED]. The resident had another physician's orders [REDACTED]. A quarterly Minimum (MDS) data set [DATE] assessed Resident #6 with severely impaired cognition, required extensive staff assistance of 2 persons for activities of daily living (ADL) to include mobility, transfers, dressing and toileting, physically and verbally abusive and without impairments in range of motion. A care plan dated 12/29/15 recorded that Resident #6 had problematic behavior characterized by ineffective coping behaviors of verbal and physical abuse, resistive to treatment/care as evidenced by yelling, cursing, swinging arms and delusional behavior. The care plan's goal specified that staff were to ensure the resident's safety. The care plan's interventions included the following: approach calmly and slowly from the front, respect personal space, provide diversion activity, allow for flexibility in ADL routine to accommodate mood, and when care is refused, leave and return in 5-10 minutes. Review of the Resident care guide revealed staff were encouraged to approach Resident #6 in a calm, reassuring manner and if care was refused, to approach the Resident later. A progress note dated 02/05/16 by the nurse practitioner (NP) revealed Resident #6 was referred by nursing for evaluation of morning agitation and persevering behaviors. Nursing reported that Resident #6 was noted increasingly agitated primarily in the morning. The progress note recorded that Resident #6 was noted by the NP to be very agitated, angry, and confused. Medications were adjusted and staff were to continue to monitor. Review of a nursing progress note dated 02/16/16 at 4:49 PM written by Nurse #1 and the February 2016 Medication Administration Record [REDACTED]. Nurse #1 documented that she administered [MEDICATION NAME] 1 mg by mouth as needed for agitation at 7:40 AM with some positive effects and then [MEDICATION NAME] 2 mg IM as needed for pain at 12:10 PM with slight positive effects. A nursing progress note dated 02/16/16 at 4:56 PM written by Nurse #1 recorded that nursing assistant (NA #1) verbalized she slapped Resident #6 during care when the Resident pulled her hair. The Medical Director was notified and assessed the Resident. Nurse #1 performed a full body assessment for Resident #6 and noted a deep reddened area to the Resident's right upper thigh. An incident report dated 02/16/16 at 5:03 PM completed by the Director of Nursing (DON), recorded that NA #1 stated she struck Resident #6 on the leg and afterwards the Resident was noted with red marks across the right thigh. A written statement by NA #1 dated 02/16/16 recorded that NA #1 struck Resident #6 on her leg on 02/16/16 around 10:00 AM when Resident #6 become combative and pulled her hair. Review of a written statement by the Administrator, dated 02/19/16, documented that she became aware on 02/16/16 around 9:00</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0282 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 7)</p> <p>PM that NA #2 also witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face on 02/16/16 about 8:00 AM. The written statement recorded that the Administrator was not previously aware. The Administrator documented that she spoke to NA #2 on 02/17/16 and was informed that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face on 02/16/16 around 8:00 AM and again on the right thigh above her knee at 10:00 AM, when the Resident became combative.</p> <p>Written statements by NA #2, dated 02/17/16, recorded that on 02/16/16 at 7:30 AM, NA #2 witnessed Resident #6 become combative during morning care and struck NA #1 twice. Nursing care continued and Resident #6 continued to be combative. During the care, NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the left side of her face. Later in the morning around 9:45 AM on 02/16/16, while in the shower room, Resident #6 again became combative and struck NA #1. Nursing care continued and NA #2 witnessed Resident #6 grab the hair of NA #1 and NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the leg.</p> <p>An interview with NA #2 was conducted on 02/24/16 at 10:30 AM. NA #2 stated that on 02/16/16 around 7:30 AM Resident #6 would not cooperate with staff and became combative during morning care, kicking, yelling and hitting. NA #2 stated she witnessed Resident #6 hit NA #1 twice, then witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the left side of her face and said You are going to stop that. NA #2 stated Resident #6 was already upset and remained upset. Both NAs continued getting Resident #6 dressed, placed her in her wheelchair and NA #1 took Resident #6 to the dining room. NA #2 stated she felt that when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 that the incident fit the definition of abuse, but that she didn't know how to separate NA #1 from Resident #6. NA #2 further stated she did not think it would happen again. NA #2 further stated that later that morning around 10:00 AM, both NA #1 and NA #2 were toileting Resident #6 in the shower room when the Resident became combative again and struck NA #1. During care, NA #1 bent down to pull up the Resident's pants and Resident #6 grabbed NA #1's hair. That's when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on her right thigh. NA #2 stated she didn't know what to do. Resident #6 released her grip on NA #1 hair and they finished pulling up the Resident's pants, transferred her to the wheelchair and NA #2 took Resident #6 to the dining room.</p> <p>A telephone interview was conducted on 02/24/16 at 12:55 PM with NA #1. She stated that she worked on the facility's secure unit as her permanent assignment. NA #1 stated that on, 02/16/16 Resident #6 slapped her on the face and she responded by gently touching the Resident's face and said Let's don't do that. NA #1 stated I just touched her face with my hand and NA #2 was present. NA #1 stated she went to the nurse's station after providing care to Resident #6 and told Nurse #1 that the Resident was a hand full. NA #1 stated later that morning around 10:00 AM, she and NA #2 were toileting Resident #6 in the shower room and the Resident became combative, worse this time. NA #1 stated that while she was pulling up the Resident's brief, the Resident grabbed her hair, pulling so hard I was up on my tip toes, so I smacked her on the right knee to get her to stop, I said stop and she stopped. NA #1 stated she struck her gently because it was not her nature to hurt anyone. Resident #6 continued yelling and hitting, we got her dressed and took her to the day room. NA #1 stated she was trained to allow a combative resident time to calm down and come back later, but Resident #6 usually worked well with her. NA #1 stated that Resident #6 liked chocolate milk and this often worked to calm her down, but offering her chocolate milk did not work on 02/16/16. NA #1 confirmed that she did not stop providing nursing care to Resident #6 when the Resident became agitated, as she had been trained, but rather continued and completed the Resident care. NA #1 stated she knew striking Resident #6 was not the right thing to do, but NA #2 was not much help and so striking Resident #6, when she became combative, was just a reaction.</p> <p>A telephone interview was conducted on 02/24/16 at 11:10 AM and a follow up interview was conducted on 02/25/16 at 3:30 PM with Nurse #1. During the interviews, Nurse #1 stated that on 02/16/16 around 10:00 or 10:15 AM, NA #1 informed her that while NA #1 and NA #2 provided care to Resident #6 in the shower room, the Resident became combative and grabbed NA #1 by the hair. NA #1 stated she popped Resident #6 to get her to let go. Nurse #1 stated that NA #1 worked with all residents on the secure unit that day from 7:00 AM until she was suspended around 10:30 AM, but Nurse #1 was not aware of any prior incidents of abuse regarding NA #1. Nurse #1 stated she had not been informed that NA #2 witnessed NA #1 slap Resident #6 on the face earlier that morning. Nurse #1 stated Resident #6 was combative at times, usually required 2 staff to give her care. Nurse #1 stated that staff were trained that when residents became combative, staff should give the resident time to calm down, try to redirect and come back later to provide care. Nurse #1 stated Resident #6 was very combative that day and Nurse #1 heard Resident #6 yelling at staff during care both around 8:00 AM and 10:00 AM. Nurse #1 stated Resident #6 received [MEDICATION NAME] (as needed) twice on her shift that day for agitation and later for pain. Nurse #1 stated she did not assist the NAs with nursing care as it was only reported to her that Resident #6 was a hand full and thought the prn [MEDICATION NAME] Resident #6 received for agitation was effective.</p> <p>The Administrator was interviewed on 02/24/16 at 3:52 PM. The Administrator stated that it was her expectation that staff ensure the safety of combative residents during nursing care and if Resident #6 required the assistance of 2 nursing staff, then depending on whether or not the staff could get to the call bell would determine whether or not staff could call for additional assistance when Resident #6 became combative.</p> <p>The Medical Director was interviewed on 02/25/16 at 3:36 PM. The Medical Director stated he was in the facility on 02/16/16 and was informed that Resident #6 was combative that day, struck a staff member and the staff member was witnessed to strike back. The Medical Director stated that he was very familiar with Resident #6 and knew that at times she was quite combative, resistive to care and would strike out and try to hit at staff. The Medical Director stated he expected nursing staff to immediately stop nursing care if a resident became combative, allow the resident time to calm down, to notify the supervisor for assistance, to continue to monitor and approach later.</p> <p>Attempts to interview the DON were unsuccessful.</p> <p>The administrator was notified of immediate jeopardy on 02/24/16 at 5:27 PM.</p>		
F 0309 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	<p>Provide necessary care and services to maintain the highest well being of each resident</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**</p> <p>Based on observations, staff interviews and medical record review, the facility failed to position a resident in her wheel chair with foot/leg support to prevent a decline in range of motion for 1 of 3 sampled residents reviewed for well-being (Resident #80).</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>Resident #80 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. [DIAGNOSES REDACTED].</p> <p>An annual Minimum Data Set, dated dated [DATE] assessed Resident #80 with severely impaired cognition, [MEDICAL CONDITION],</p> <p>disorganized thinking, extensive staff assistance of 1 person for mobility, extensive staff assistance of 2 persons for transfers and locomotion, not steady, only able to stabilize with staff assistance when moving from seated to standing and surface to surface, and a wheelchair for mobility.</p> <p>A care plan dated 03/01/16 and Resident Care Guide identified Resident #80 with chronic progressive decline in intellectual function, at risk for functional decline and falls characterized by deficit in memory and judgement due to her [DIAGNOSES REDACTED].</p> <p>Resident #80 was observed without her legs elevated while in her wheel chair on the following dates/times:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- 02/22/16 10:52 AM Resident #80 was in her wheelchair, seated on a thick cushion, in her room while receiving water from a staff member, facing the television, wheelchair positioned parallel to her bed, both feet crossed at the ankle, hanging approximately 4 inches off the floor. When her feet were relaxed, both feet pointed downward towards the floor.-- 02/23/16 4:36 PM Resident #80 was in her wheelchair, seated on a thick cushion, in her room, facing the television, wheelchair positioned parallel to her bed, both feet hung approximately 4 inches off the floor. When her feet were relaxed, both feet pointed downward towards the floor.-- 02/24/16 6:39 AM Resident #80 was in her wheelchair, seated on a thick cushion, at the nurse's station, both feet crossed at the ankles, hanging approximately 4 inches off the floor. When her feet were relaxed, both feet pointed downward towards the floor. <p>On 02/24/16 at 06:39 AM, Nurse #6 stated he worked routinely with Resident #80 on the 11PM - 7 AM shift. Nurse #6 stated that Resident #80 had happy feet because she kicked her feet all the time when in her wheelchair. Nurse #6 stated he had not observed Resident #80 with foot/leg rests to her wheelchair as long as he had worked with her, but sometimes staff placed a chair in front of her to elevate her legs, so she did not kick her feet. Nurse #6 stated staff were concerned that she would kick her feet so hard that she might overturn in her wheelchair. Nurse #6 asked Resident #80 to relax her feet</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0309 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 8)</p> <p>and noted that both feet pointed in a downward direction, he stated Her feet are starting to drop, but stated he had not noticed that before nor had he referred her to therapy due to a lack of foot/leg support when she was in her wheelchair. An interview on 02/24/16 at 06:41 AM with Nurse Aide (NA) #6 revealed she worked with Resident #80 routinely on the 11PM - 7AM shift, occasionally on other shifts and assisted Resident #80 to her wheelchair that morning. NA #6 stated she was not aware that Resident #6 was to have her legs elevated while in the wheelchair. NA #6 further stated that the Resident's feet/legs either hung while she was seated in the wheelchair or she kicked her feet. NA #6 stated she never saw Resident #80 use foot/leg rests in her wheelchair.</p> <p>An interview on 02/24/16 at 11:53 AM with the Therapy Manager revealed she was aware that Resident #80 used a low seated wheel chair without foot/leg rests and had observed Resident in her wheel chair with her feet hanging above the floor. The Therapy Manager stated that Resident #80 liked to put her feet up on a chair, on foot/leg rests or on her bed. The Therapy Manager stated that Resident #80 could elevate her legs herself and staff should position her to allow her to elevate her legs. The Therapy Manager stated that Resident #80 should have a chair, leg/foot rests or be positioned such that she could prop her feet up on a bed in a low position while seated in her wheelchair to prevent a decline in range of motion. The Therapy Manager stated that the family of Resident #80 declined therapy services since admission and Resident #80 was on the facility's list of residents that could not receive therapy services due the family's request. The Therapy Manager stated that Resident #80 was in the best wheelchair for her, with the seat as low as it could go, but staff had not tried a thinner cushion to see if that would allow her feet to reach the floor.</p> <p>An interview on 02/25/16 at 08:48 AM with the Interim Director of Nursing (DON) revealed Resident #80 kicked her feet routinely when in her wheel chair, but liked to prop her feet up. The Interim DON stated that she expected Resident #80 to be positioned in her wheel chair such that her feet reached the floor or to have her feet elevated when in her wheel chair for safety and to prevent a decline in range of motion.</p>		
F 0312 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Some	<p>Assist those residents who need total help with eating/drinking, grooming and personal and oral hygiene.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**</p> <p>Based on observations, record reviews, resident, and staff interviews the facility failed to provide bed baths and failed to keep fingernails clean for 2 of 5 residents sampled for activities of daily living (ADL) (Residents #24 and #112). The findings included:</p> <p>1. Resident #24 was readmitted to the facility on [DATE] with [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. Review of the most recent quarterly Minimum Data Set ((MDS) dated [DATE] indicated that Resident #24 was cognitively intact and required total assistance of one person for bathing. The MDS further indicated no behaviors were identified.</p> <p>Review of a care plan dated 12/18/15 read in part that Resident #24 required assistance to restore maximum function of self-sufficiency for bathing related to impaired mobility and physical limitations. The goal of said care plan stated that Resident #24 would be neat, clean, and odor free through the next review period. Interventions included: one person to provide some physical assistance with bathing and encourage Resident #24 to participate in self-care as ability permitted. Review of the facility's master shower schedule revealed Resident #24's bed baths were scheduled on Wednesdays and Saturdays on second shift.</p> <p>Review of the facility's bathing log dated 01/28/16 through 02/24/16 for Resident #24 revealed that Resident #24 had received no type of bathing or bathing assistance for 21 of the last 30 days.</p> <p>Observation of Resident #24 on 02/23/16 at 3:02 PM revealed Resident #24 was lying in the bed with eyes open, he had not been shaved with whiskers approximately a quarter inch long and Resident #24 was wearing the same red t-shirt that had his initial's embroidered on the lower left corner of the shirt that he was wearing the previous day.</p> <p>Interview with Resident #24 on 02/23/16 at 3:02 PM revealed that he was waiting to get his bath for the day. Resident #24 stated that he had not been washed up at all and was waiting on the nursing assistant (NA) to come and wash him. Resident #24 also stated that he does not take showers due to chronic pain, so he took bed baths on Tuesdays and Thursdays when the hospice staff came and assisted him. Resident #24 stated that on the days that hospice is not there, the facility staff is supposed to wash me up and they do not.</p> <p>Interview with NA #4 on 02/24/16 at 8:49 AM revealed she was taking care of Resident #24 and that hospice staff completed his bed baths on Tuesdays and Fridays she believed but was not sure. NA #4 stated that Resident #24 was scheduled to receive a bed bath today but she was not sure if he would get one because he was on hospice services.</p> <p>In a follow up interview with Resident #24 on 02/24/16 at 8:57 AM he stated that he had finally received his bed bath yesterday at 4:00 PM when the hospice staff came to do it. Resident #24 also stated that the NA had shaved him yesterday while doing his bed bath, and that he had trimmed his own nails. Resident #24 stated that the only bed baths he received were the ones that hospice staff provided for him on Tuesdays and Thursdays, and this really bothered him because when he was able he took a shower once a day.</p> <p>Interview with NA #5 on 02/24/16 at 9:48 AM revealed that she was a part of the shower team and that Resident #24 was showered once a week on Saturday and that hospice staff came on Tuesdays and Thursdays but was not aware of whether Resident #24 received a bed bath or a shower.</p> <p>Interview with the Interim Director of Nursing (Interim DON) on 02/24/16 at 5:51 PM revealed that on admission showers/bed baths are assigned by room number and if the resident wanted something different they needed to let the staff know and they would rearrange their shower schedule. The Interim DON stated that it was her expectation that if hospice performed bed baths on Tuesdays and Thursdays the staff is expected to do partial bed baths on the other days of the week and record them on the bathing log in their computer system. The Interim DON further stated that she was not aware that the staff was not performing the bed baths like she expected.</p> <p>2. Resident #112 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with [DIAGNOSES REDACTED].</p> <p>Review of the quarterly Minimum (MDS) data set [DATE] revealed Resident #112 was moderately cognitively impaired and required extensive assistance with personal hygiene and was dependent for bathing.</p> <p>Review of the care plan dated 01/28/16 revealed Resident #112 required assistance to restore or maintain maximum function of self-sufficiency for personal hygiene characterized by the following functions; shaving, mouth care, daily maintaining of appearance related to impaired mobility. The goal was for Resident #112 to be neat, clean and odor free through the next review. The interventions included providing constant supervision with physical assistance.</p> <p>Observations of Resident #112's fingernails revealed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- 02/22/16 at 12:15 PM Fingernails on both hands were approximately ¼ inch long with brown debris under all fingernails.- 02/23/16 at 3:45 PM Fingernails on both hands were approximately ¼ inch long with brown debris under all fingernails.- 02/24/16 at 2:56 PM Fingernails on both hands were approximately ¼ inch long with brown debris under all fingernails.- 02/25/16 at 10:12 AM Fingernails on both hands were approximately ¼ inch long with brown debris under all fingernails. <p>During an interview with Resident #112's Responsible Party (RP) on 02/22/16 at 12:45 she stated she would like for the facility to keep Resident #112's fingernails cleaned and trimmed. She stated she could not recall staff cleaning or trimming Resident #112's fingernails.</p> <p>An interview conducted with nurse aide (NA) #3 on 02/25/16 at 10:27 AM revealed nail care was to be done during resident showers and as needed. NA #3 stated she gave Resident #112 his shower and cleaned under his fingernails with a wash cloth. She stated she could not trim his fingernails because he was a diabetic and the NAs were not allowed to trim nails on a resident with diabetes. She stated the nurses did nail care on residents with diabetes.</p> <p>An interview conducted with Nurse #3 on 02/25/16 at 10:29 AM revealed nail care was to be provided by the NAs during showers and as needed unless the resident had diabetes and then the nurse should provide nail care as needed. Nurse #3 and NA#3 were accompanied to Resident #112's room on 02/25/16 at 10:30 AM to observe Resident fingernails and confirmed there was brown debris underneath each nail and his fingernails should have been cleaned and trimmed.</p> <p>During an interview conducted on 02/25/16 at 3:09 PM the Interim Director of Nursing stated it was her expectation for nail care to be performed with showers and as needed by NAs and as needed by nurses for residents with diabetes.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0312 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Some F 0315 Level of harm - Actual harm Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 9)</p> <p>Make sure that each resident who enters the nursing home without a catheter is not given a catheter, and receive proper services to prevent urinary tract infections and restore normal bladder function. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on record review and Physician and staff interviews the facility failed to change an indwelling urinary Foley catheter per physician order [REDACTED]. The findings included: Resident #112 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. Review of the quarterly Minimum (MDS) data set [DATE] revealed Resident #112 was moderately cognitively impaired and had an indwelling urinary catheter. Review of the care plan dated 01/28/16 revealed Resident #112 had an altered pattern of urinary elimination with an indwelling Foley catheter and was at risk for infection. The goal was for Resident #112 to be free from urinary tract infection through the next review. Interventions included catheter care per facility protocol and change Foley catheter per physician orders [REDACTED]. Review of physician order's dated 11/08/15 revealed Resident #112's indwelling urinary Foley catheter was to be changed every 30 days. Review of the treatment records (TAR) for Resident #112 revealed the following: - 11/01/15 through 11/30/15 - change the Foley catheter every 30 days. Documented on TAR as changed on 11/08/15. - 12/01/15 through 12/31/15 - no order to change the Foley catheter every 30 days on TAR. - 01/01/16 through 01/31/16 - no order to change the Foley catheter every 30 days on TAR. - 02/01/16 through 02/25/16 - no order to change the Foley catheter every 30 days on TAR. Review of the nurse's notes from 10/23/15 through 02/25/16 revealed no note that Resident #112's urinary Foley catheter had been changed. Review of the nurse's note dated 01/14/16 at 6:25 AM revealed Nurse #5 deflated the balloon of Resident #112's urinary Foley catheter and retracted the Foley catheter out some due to bleeding around the external urethra. Review of nurse's note dated 01/15/16 at 11:36 PM revealed Resident #112 had an episode of vomiting and an anti-nausea medication was administered. Vital signs were as follows: blood pressure - 140/78, pulse - 54, respirations - 18, temperature - 103 axillary. Nurse's note dated 01/16/16 at 12:20 AM revealed Resident #112 was sent to the emergency room via emergency management system. Review of the hospital discharge summary revealed Resident #112 was admitted to the hospital for [MEDICAL CONDITION] most likely due to catheter associated urinary tract infection from his indwelling Foley catheter. Resident #112 was managed in the intensive care unit [MEDICAL CONDITION] being gradually resolved at discharge back to the facility on [DATE]. An observation made on 02/22/16 at 11:30 AM revealed Resident #112 had an indwelling urinaryfoley catheter draining clear, yellow urine. An interview conducted with Nurse #4 on 02/24/16 at 9:40 AM revealed she did not know when Resident #112's urinary Foley catheter had last been changed. She stated the last documented urinary foley catheter change on the TAR was 11/08/15 and there were no orders on the TAR for 12/2015, 01/2016 and 02/2016 to change the urinary Foley catheter every 30 days. Nurse #5 stated the order to change the urinary Foley catheter every 30 days did not get transcribed to the TAR after 11/2015 so she wouldn't have known it needed to be changed. An interview conducted with Nurse #3 on 02/25/16 at 10:15 AM revealed she normally worked the 3:00 PM to 11:00 PM shift with Resident #112. She reviewed the February 2016 TAR for Resident #112 with the surveyor and confirmed there was no order to change Resident #112's urinary Foley catheter every 30 days. She stated she did not know when Resident #112's urinary Foley catheter had last been changed because it had not been documented on the TAR for February 2016 and stated it should have been written on the TAR what day of the month and what shift Resident #112's urinary Foley catheter was to be changed. Nurse #5 further stated each nurse on the floor was assigned a couple of charts each month to transcribe orders from the previous month to the next month to the TAR and was not sure if anyone checked the orders behind the person that transcribed them. An interview conducted with the facility Physician on 02/25/16 at 1:41 PM revealed he was not aware the urinary Foley catheter order had not been placed on the TAR for 12/2015, 01/2016 and 02/2016. He stated he expected the urinary catheters to be changed every 30 days as ordered. The physician further stated there was always the risk of infection from an indwelling urinary Foley catheter and not changing the catheter as ordered could have caused the infection leading to Resident #112's hospitalization on [DATE]. A phone call was attempted on 02/25/16 at 2:45 PM with Nurse #5 due to her no longer being employed by the facility. A message was left but Nurse #5 did not return surveyors call. During an interview conducted with the Interim Director of Nursing (DON) on 02/25/16 at 3:00 PM she revealed the order to change Resident #112's urinary catheter every 30 days had not been transcribed to the TAR in 12/2015, 01/2016 and 02/2016 and she could not find any documentation in the medical record of the urinary Foley catheter being changed since 11/08/15. The Interim DON further stated she had interviewed nurses that provided care to Resident #112 and none of them recalled changing Resident #112's urinary Foley catheter. The DON stated Resident #112's urinary Foley catheter should have been changed every 30 days and the order to change the catheter should have been documented on the TAR.</p>		
F 0441 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	<p>Have a program that investigates, controls and keeps infection from spreading. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** Based on observations, record review and staff interviews the facility failed to follow contact precautions while setting up a meal tray for a resident on contact isolation for 1 of 1 resident on contact isolation observed for dining on the 700 hall (Resident #137). The findings included: Resident #137 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. Review of the facility Infection Control Policy dated 09/2014 revealed contact isolation precautions were to be followed by all staff. An observation made on 02/22/16 at 12:30 PM revealed Resident #137's room had a red Contact Isolation sign on the door that read in part: wear gloves when entering room. Wear a gown if expected to be soiled in any way. An observation made of Nurse #4 setting up the lunch tray for Resident #137 on 02/22/16 at 12:40 PM revealed Nurse #4 taking the lunch tray from the cart, knock on Resident #137's door and enter her room with her lunch tray. Nurse #4 did not don gloves when entering Resident #137's room. Nurse #4 placed the meal tray on Resident #137's over bed table and positioned the over bed table for Resident #137 to reach her tray. Nurse #4 took the lid off of the plate, removed silverware from the packet and opened the straw for Resident #137. Nurse #4 then exited Resident #137's room without washing her hands. Nurse #4 used hand sanitizer from her pocket when she came out into the hall and proceeded to take another tray from the lunch cart to give to another resident. An interview conducted with Nurse #4 on 12/22/16 at 12:55 PM revealed she did not wear gloves during the set-up of Resident #137's lunch tray because she did not touch anything but the tray while she was in the room. Nurse #4 stated she used hand sanitizer between passing meal trays instead of handwashing because it took less time. During an interview conducted with the Interim Director of Nursing (DON) on 02/23/16 at 11:30 AM she stated she expected staff to wear gloves when setting up meal trays for residents on contact isolation precautions and staff had been trained to wash their hands before leaving the room of a resident on isolation precautions. The Interim DON further stated hand sanitizer did not kill[DIAGNOSES REDACTED] and that was why it was important to use soap and water for handwashing before leaving the residents room.</p>		

<p>F 0490</p> <p>Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Be administered in an acceptable way that maintains the well-being of each resident .</p> <p>Based on staff interviews and review of facility records, the facility administrative staff failed to create and impose a</p>
---	--

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0490 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 10)</p> <p>culture that all residents would be protected from abuse and that staff would implement the facility's abuse policy and procedures to intervene, protect and immediately report abuse when witnessed. A combative resident experienced 2 episodes of physical abuse without immediate facility intervention, protection and implementation of abuse policies and procedures for 1 of 1 sampled residents reviewed for abuse (Resident #6).</p> <p>Immediate jeopardy began on 02/16/16 when Nurse Aide (NA) #1 slapped Resident #6 on the face and the witness, NA #2 did not intervene and did not immediately report to administrative staff for protection of Resident #6 and other residents. A second incident of physical abuse occurred on 02/16/16 when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the right thigh and the witness, NA #2 did not intervene for the protection of Resident #6.</p> <p>Immediate jeopardy is present and ongoing.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>Cross refer to F 223 - Based on staff interviews and record review, the facility failed to protect a resident's right to be free from physical abuse with immediate intervention when a resident became combative during nursing care. A resident was physically abused twice when a staff member slapped her across the face and then on the right thigh for 1 of 1 sampled residents reviewed for abuse. (Resident #6).</p> <p>Cross refer to F225 - Based on staff interviews and record review, the staff failed to immediately notify administrative staff of a witnessed incident of physical abuse in which a resident was slapped on the face. Once notified, the facility failed to report the incident of physical abuse to the Health Care Personnel Registry in 24 hours and the investigative findings in 5 working days for 1 of 1 sampled residents. (Resident #6).</p> <p>Cross refer to F 226 - Based on staff interviews and record review, the facility failed to immediately stop nursing care when a resident (Resident #6) became combative to prevent an incident of physical abuse, intervene when physical abuse was observed, and immediately remove the perpetrator from a combative resident on a secure unit. The facility failed to report a witnessed incident of physical abuse to the Health Care Personnel Registry in 24 hours and the investigation in 5 working days. The facility failed to follow their abuse policy and procedures in the areas of prevention, protection, identification, training and reporting of physical abuse for 1 of 1 abuse investigation reviewed.</p> <p>During an interview with the Administrator on 02/24/16 at 3:52 PM, she revealed she was informed by the Director of Nursing (DON) on 02/16/16 around lunch time that abuse occurred in the facility on 02/16/16 around 10:00 AM. The Administrator stated that the DON told her that she had begun the investigation, so the Administrator continued working in her office. The Administrator stated that around 4:00 PM, before leaving for the day, the DON came back to the Administrator's office and told her that she had written statements and interviews, but the Administrator did not review the investigation conducted by the DON and assumed the DON had it all together. The Administrator stated that later she realized that the DON had not been thorough in her investigation and that as the captain of the ship it was her responsibility to make sure all parts of the investigation was completed. The Administrator stated that it was her expectation that staff ensure the safety of combative residents during nursing care and if Resident #6 required the assistance of 2 nursing staff, then depending on whether or not the staff could get to the call bell would determine whether or not staff could call for additional assistance when Resident #6 became combative. The Administrator stated she attributed the failure of staff to immediately report abuse and protect a combative resident from further abuse was due to a lack of training that provided staff with the necessary tools to know how to respond to a combative resident and what to do when a combative resident was abused. The Medical Director was interviewed on 02/25/16 at 3:36 PM. The Medical Director stated he was in the facility on 02/16/16 and was informed that Resident #6 was combative that day, struck a staff member and the staff member was witnessed to strike back. The Medical Director stated that he was very familiar with Resident #6 and knew that at times she was quite combative, resistive to care and would strike out and try to hit at staff. The Medical Director stated he was involved in developing the plan of correction when abuse occurred in the facility in January 2016. He stated that staff were re-educated to report abuse immediately, if it occurred or was witnessed. If abuse was reported to administrative staff, the Medical Director stated he expected the facility to follow the abuse protocol for reporting to the proper authorities and continued monitoring to make sure abuse did not continue to occur.</p> <p>The Administrator was informed of immediate jeopardy on 02/24/2016 at 5:27 PM.</p>		
F 0514 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	<p>Keep accurate, complete and organized clinical records on each resident that meet professional standards</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**</p> <p>Based on an observation, staff interviews and review of medical and facility records, the facility failed to transcribe a physician order [REDACTED].</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>1. Resident #112 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with [DIAGNOSES REDACTED].</p> <p>Review of the quarterly Minimum (MDS) data set [DATE] revealed Resident #112 was moderately cognitively impaired and had an indwelling Foley catheter.</p> <p>Review of the care plan dated 01/28/16 revealed Resident #112 had an altered pattern of urinary elimination with an indwelling catheter and was at risk for infection. The goal was for Resident #112 to be free from urinary tract infection through the next review. Interventions included Foley catheter care per facility protocol and change Foley catheter per physician orders [REDACTED].</p> <p>Review of the treatment records (TAR) for Resident #112 revealed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- 10/23/15 through 10/31/15 - urinary catheter care once every shift.- 11/01/15 through 11/30/15 - Change urinary catheter every 30 days. Documented on TAR as changed on 11/08/15. No mention of urinary catheter care every shift.- 12/01/15 through 12/31/15 - No mention of urinary catheter care every shift or change urinary catheter every 30 days on TAR.- 01/01/16 through 01/31/16 - No mention of urinary catheter care every shift or change urinary catheter every 30 days.- 02/01/16 through 02/25/16 - No mention of urinary catheter care every shift or change urinary catheter every 30 days. <p>Review of the nurse's notes from 10/23/15 through 02/25/16 revealed no note that Resident #112's urinary catheter had been changed. Review of the nurse's note dated 01/14/16 at 6:25 AM revealed Nurse #5 deflated the balloon of Resident #112's urinary catheter and retracted the catheter out some due to bleeding around the external urethra.</p> <p>An interview conducted with Nurse #4 on 02/24/16 at 9:40 AM revealed she did not know when Resident #112's urinary catheter had last been changed. She stated the last documented catheter change on the TAR was 11/08/15 and there were no orders on the TAR for 12/2015, 01/2016 and 02/2016 to change the urinary catheter every 30 days. Nurse #5 stated the order to change the urinary catheter every 30 days did not get transcribed to the TAR after 11/2015 so she wouldn't have known it needed to be changed.</p> <p>An interview conducted with Nurse #3 on 02/25/16 at 10:15 AM revealed she normally worked the 3:00 PM to 11:00 PM shift with Resident #112. She reviewed the February 2016 TAR for Resident #112 with the surveyor and confirmed there was no order to change Resident #112's urinary catheter every 30 days. She stated she knew the nurse aides provided catheter care during incontinence care but she did not know when the urinary catheter had last been changed because it had not been documented on the TAR for February 2016 and stated it should have been written on the TAR what day of the month and what shift Resident #112's urinary catheter was to be changed. Nurse #5 further stated each nurse on the floor was assigned a couple of charts each month to transcribe orders from the previous month to the next month to the TAR and was not sure if anyone checked the orders behind the person that transcribed them.</p> <p>A phone call was attempted on 02/25/16 at 2:45 PM with Nurse #5 due to her no longer being employed by the facility. A message was left but Nurse #5 did not return surveyors call.</p> <p>During an interview conducted with the Interim Director of Nursing (DON) on 02/25/16 at 3:00 PM she revealed the order to change Resident #112's urinary catheter every 30 days had not been transcribed to the TAR in 12/2015, 01/2016 and 02/2016 and she could not find any documentation in the medical record of the urinary catheter being changed since 11/08/15. The Interim DON stated it was her expectation that all orders be transcribed correctly to the TAR each month. She stated the nurse's should have been checking behind each other for transcription mistakes.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
F 0514 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	<p>(continued... from page 11)</p> <p>2. Resident #36 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. [DIAGNOSES REDACTED]. A physician's orders [REDACTED]. The physician's orders [REDACTED]. #36 with a water flush of 500 cc every 4 hours at 2AM, 6AM, 10AM, 2PM, 6PM and 10PM. The physician's orders [REDACTED].</p> <p>On 02/24/16 at 05:35 AM Resident #36 was in her bed with the head of the bed elevated to approximately 30 degrees. On 02/24/16 at 05:48 AM, the enteral feeding bottle of Glucerna 1.2 was empty and the enteral feeding pump was noted beeping. Nurse #7 was observed to turn off the enteral feeding pump per the request of Nurse #6. Nurse #6 was noted to gather supplies and medications for Resident #36 which included an enteral feeding bottle of Glucerna 1.2. On 02/24/16 at 06:01 AM, Nurse #6 was observed to record the date of 02/24/16, time of 05:30 AM, and rate of 50 cc on the enteral feeding bottle for Resident #36. On 02/24/16 at 06:05 AM, Nurse #6 administered medications and a water flush to Resident #36 via the PEG tube and started the enteral feeding product.</p> <p>Nurse #6 was interviewed on 02/24/16 at 6:10 AM and stated he wrote 05:30 AM on the enteral feeding bottle as the administration time because he typically recorded the time he prepared the medications and that was the time he prepared medications for Resident #36. Nurse #6 stated he realized that by recording an administration time of 05:30 AM, Resident #36 missed 35 minutes of TF product.</p> <p>The Interim Director of Nursing (DON) was interviewed on 02/25/16 at 8:48 AM. During the interview she stated that for accuracy, staff should document the actual time medications were given and enteral feeding products infused so that the medical record would document the correct amount of enteral feeding product infused. The Interim DON stated that based on the hang time Nurse #6 documented for the enteral feeding product, Resident #36 missed 35 minutes of enteral feeding product.</p>		
F 0520 Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy Residents Affected - Few	<p>Set up an ongoing quality assessment and assurance group to review quality deficiencies quarterly, and develop corrective plans of action.</p> <p>**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**</p> <p>Based on staff interviews and review of facility records, the facility's Quality Assessment and Assurance (QAA) committee failed to maintain implemented procedures and monitor these interventions that the committee put into place on February 05, 2016. This was for 4 recited deficiencies that were originally cited during a Complaint survey conducted on [DATE] and subsequently recited during a Recertification, Complaint and Follow up Complaint survey conducted on February 25, 2016. The deficiencies were in the areas of a resident's right to be free from abuse, the facility's implementation of abuse policies and procedures, administration and accuracy of the clinical record.</p> <p>Immediate jeopardy began on [DATE] when Nurse Aide (NA) #1 slapped Resident #6 on the face and the witness, NA #2 did not intervene and did not immediately report to administrative staff for protection of Resident #6. A second incident of physical abuse occurred on [DATE] when NA #1 slapped Resident #6 on the right thigh and the witness, NA #2 did not intervene for the protection of Resident #6.</p> <p>Immediate jeopardy is present and ongoing.</p> <p>The findings included:</p> <p>1a. Cross refer to F 223 - Based on staff interviews and record review, the facility failed to protect a resident's right to be free from physical abuse with immediate intervention when a resident became combative during nursing care. A resident was physically abused twice when a staff member slapped her on the face and then on the right thigh for 1 of 1 sampled residents reviewed for abuse. (Resident #6).</p> <p>During a Complaint survey conducted on [DATE], the facility was cited for failure to protect a resident from sexual abuse. During a Recertification, Complaint and Follow up to Complaint survey conducted on February 25, 2016, the facility was cited for failure to protect a resident from physical abuse.</p> <p>1b. Cross refer to F 226 - Based on staff interviews and record review, the facility failed to immediately stop nursing care when a resident (Resident #6) became combative to protect the resident and prevent an incident of physical abuse, intervene when physical abuse was observed, and immediately remove the perpetrator from a combative resident on a secure unit. The facility failed to report a witnessed incident of physical abuse to the Health Care Personnel Registry in 24 hours and the investigation in 5 working days. The facility failed to follow their abuse policy and procedures in the areas of prevention, protection, identification, training and reporting of physical abuse for 1 of 1 abuse investigation reviewed.</p> <p>During a Complaint survey conducted on [DATE], the facility was cited for failure to implement their abuse policy/procedures and have a policy that included assessment of a resident after abuse. During a Recertification, Complaint and Follow up to Complaint survey conducted on February 25, 2016, the facility was cited for failure to implement their abuse policy/procedures.</p> <p>1c. Cross refer to F 490 - Based on staff interviews and review of facility records, the facility administrative staff failed to create and impose a culture that all residents would be protected from abuse and that staff would implement the facility's abuse policy and procedures to intervene, protect and immediately report abuse when witnessed. A combative resident experienced 2 episodes of physical abuse without immediate facility intervention, protection and implementation of abuse policies and procedures for 1 of 1 sampled residents reviewed for abuse (Resident #6).</p> <p>During a Complaint conducted on [DATE] the facility was cited for failure of administration to impose the expectation for implementation of the facility's abuse policy/procedures. During a Recertification, Complaint and Follow up survey of February 25, 2016, the facility was cited for failure of administration to create and impose a culture that protected residents from physical abuse.</p> <p>1d. Cross refer to F 514 - Based on an observation, staff interviews and review of medical and facility records, the facility failed to transcribe a physician order [REDACTED].</p> <p>During a Complaint survey conducted on [DATE] the facility was cited for failure to document an incident of sexual abuse in the medical record. During a Recertification, Complaint and Follow up to Complaint survey of February 25, 2016, the facility was cited for failure to transcribe a physician's orders [REDACTED].</p> <p>During an interview with the Administrator on [DATE] at 4:29 PM and a follow up interview on [DATE] at 7:00 PM, she revealed that the facility's QAA met monthly and quarterly. She stated that the monthly QAA meetings focused on the same agenda each month, and the quarterly QAA meetings' agenda focused on unresolved or new concerns. The Administrator stated that since abuse was cited in [DATE] during a Federal Complaint survey, all department heads were responsible for monitoring for abuse on all shifts. The Administrator stated that staff had no observations of abuse noted or brought for discussion to the morning staff meetings since the [DATE] Federal Complaint survey. The Administrator stated that during these rounds, nursing staff were able to communicate the correct responses when quizzed regarding implementation of the facility's abuse policy/procedures. The Administrator stated that she attributed a repeat deficiency at F 223 to some nursing staff did not know how to respond when a resident became combative during care and that administration needed to provide more interactive in-services. The Administrator stated she attributed a repeat deficiency at F226 to staff not having the right understanding of the abuse policy/procedures or not getting enough information on how to implement the facility's abuse policy and procedures regarding what to report as abuse and when to report abuse. The Administrator also stated that she took responsibility for implementing the facility's abuse policy as it related to reporting abuse to the Health Care Personnel Registry (HCPR), as she did not initially identify the 2 incidents of abuse as 2 separate incidents. The Administrator further stated that she attributed a repeat deficiency at F490 to staff not feeling safe to report abuse, it was administration's responsibility to reassure staff that it was okay to report abuse and that administrative staff should have reported to the HCPR both incidents of abuse once administration became aware. The Administrator stated she attributed a repeat deficiency at F514 to a lack of staff training and a need for re-education on accuracy when documenting the medical record.</p> <p>The Medical Director was interviewed on [DATE] at 3:36 PM and stated he was involved in developing the plan of correction when abuse occurred in the facility in [DATE]. He stated that staff were re-educated to report abuse immediately, if it occurred or was witnessed. If abuse was reported to administrative staff, the Medical Director stated he expected the facility to follow the abuse protocol for reporting to the proper authorities and continued monitoring to make sure abuse did not continue to occur.</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 345502	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING _____ B. WING _____	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 02/25/2016
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER LAKE PARK NURSING AND REHABILITATION CENTER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP 3315 FAITH CHURCH ROAD INDIAN TRAIL, NC 28079	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		
<p>F 0520</p> <p>Level of harm - Immediate jeopardy</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>(continued... from page 12)</p> <p>The Administrator was informed of immediate jeopardy on [DATE] at 5:27 PM.</p>		