Printed: 02/23/2025 Form Approved OMB No. 0938-0391

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0221 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H Based on staff interview, clinical re resident (Resident #13) in the surv physical restraint. The facility staff failed to ensure the Geri-chair. The Findings included: Resident #13 was a [AGE] year old included Unspecified Dementia wit Depressive Disorder. The Minimum Data Set, which was coded Resident #13 as having a Bicognition. On 11/7/17 at 2:45 P.M. an unannumber bed. Resident #13 was on 1:1: Wing-Helper's job functions were, seeds. She further stated that she we examination that coming Saturday. On 11/8/17 at 9:00 A.M. a second room with 1:1 staff supervision. On 11/8/17 a review was conducte 1/13/17, with a follow-up report dat G) had been terminated for tying R CNA G's Witness Statement was rebecause she wouldn't stay in bed. 0630 (6:30 A.M.) and I didn't know	ical restraints, unless needed for medical restraints, unless needed for medical HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT Concord review, and facility documentation ey sample of 24 residents, to ensure the sat Resident #13 was free of being restraint at Resident #13 was scheduled to the facility supervision provided by a Wing-Helper she stated she sits with Resident #13, paras scheduled to take her Certified Number observation was conducted of Resident dof facility documentation, revealing a led 1/18/17. The facility reported that a desident #13 to a Geri-chair with a sheet eviewed. She stated that she tied Resident what else to do. She continued to get out to untile her. I was afraid she was go	ONFIDENTIALITY** 29128 In review, the facility staff failed, for 1 hat Resident #13 was free of a rained by a bed sheet tied around a rai

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete Event ID:

Facility ID: 495115

If continuation sheet Page 1 of 13

			No. 0938-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 495115	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017
	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center		P CODE
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	Chesterfield, VA 23834 tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0221 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	assessed, and had no apparent inju On 1/13/17 an Inservice Training w restraints. On 11/9/17 at 9:00 A.M. an intervie stated, We continue to have her on be on 1:1 as long as she needs to I have been done to prevent Resider stated, She (CNA) should have rep she should have called the doctor a	w was conducted with the nursing staff reg w was conducted with the Director of N 1:1, that [NAME] the other staff to just be. We're documenting her behaviors. In #13 from being restrained during the orted to the nurse, other staff may hav	Jarding the use of physical Jursing (DON) (Admin. B). She focus on their jobs. She's going to When asked about what could January, 2017 incident, the DON e taken turns monitoring. Maybe

Centers for Medicare & Medic	and Services		No. 0938-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834	P CODE
For information on the pursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency please cont	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0281	Ensure services provided by the nu	rsing facility meet professional standar	ds of quality.
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or		AVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CO	
potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few		cord review, and facility documentation f practice for documentation of medicat of 24 residents.	
	For Resident #8, the facility staff fai occasions in August, 2017.	iled to document the administration of a	a dietary supplement on two
	The Findings included:		
		who was admitted to the facility on [DA' kness, Gastroesophageal Reflux Disea	
		a Quarterly Assessment with an Asses of Interview of Mental Status Score of 5	
		d of Resident #8's clinical record, revea nake by mouth three times daily with m	
	Shake on 8/18/17, and 8/29/17 at 6	ration Record was missing documentat i:00 P.M. In addition, the nursing progre of the amount consumed. There was n	ess notes did not contain
	stated, Mighty Shake is important follows. It should be documented on the	ew was conducted with the Unit Managor nutritional supplementation to prevence Medication Administration Record (NE) as their standard of nursing practice	nt weight loss or further weight MAR). The Unit Manager further
	identified. Document all medication medication wasn't administered, do	s of nursing for documentation of medi s administered in the patient's MAR or cument the reason why, any interventi- entions. [NAME] Solutions Safe Medica	EMAR (electronic MAR). If a ons taken, practitioner notification,
	On 11/8/17 at 4:00 P.M. the facility informed of the findings. No further	Administrator (Admin. A), and Director information was received.	of Nursing (Admin. B) were

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIES Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and N	Nursing Center lan to correct this deficiency, please conf	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZII 831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017 P CODE	
Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and I	Nursing Center lan to correct this deficiency, please conf	831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834	P CODE	
For information on the constitution is		l tact the nursing home or the state survey a		
For information on the nursing nome's pl	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC		agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG		SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0309 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Provide necessary care and services to maintain or improve the highest well being of each resident		rell being of each resident . DNFIDENTIALITY** 34574 review, the facility staff failed to ang was performed and TEJ. Resident #7's diagnoses stroke), hemiplegia/hemiparesis, an, and diabetes. Sement Reference Date) of a BIMS (Brief Interview of Mental was also coded as requiring total ways incontinent of bowel. She aled a diabetic flow sheet (a facility f necessary) showing no blood be dates and times indicated for 9/18-11:30 AM, 9/18-4:30 PM, checks AC/HS (before meals and epending upon blood glucose	

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 495115	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 831 Ellerslie Ave	P CODE
		Chesterfield, VA 23834	
		tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0309	3. Size and gauge of the needle us	ed	
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	4. Injection site		
Residents Affected - Few	5. How well the resident tolerated t		
	Administration was informed of find	lings on 11/9/2017 at 10:30 AM.	

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION AND ENTRECATION NUMBER: 495115 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center Tolonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center STEET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 831 Ellerslie Ave Chesierfield, VA 23834 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Sech deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) F 0314 Level of Harm - Actual harm Residents Affected - Few Sales on observation, staff interview, facility documentation review, and clinical record review the facility staff failed to assess and implament interventions to prevent on unstageable pressure wound resulting in horm for Residents ff. This is a past non-compliance central on unstageable pressure wound on her sacrum. Findings included: Resident #5, a [AGE] year-old female, was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included CVA (Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, sezure disorder, sphalail, hypertainsion, and diadent-stroke), left side hemplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, sezure disorder, sphalail, hypertainsion, and diadent-stroke), left side hemplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, sezure disorder, sphalail, hypertainsion, and diadent-stroke), left side hemplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, sezure disorder, sphalail, hypertainsion, and diadent-stroke), left side hemplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, sezure disorder, sphalail, hypertainsion, and diadent-stroke), left side hemplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, sezure disorder, sphalail, hypertainsion, and diadent-stroke), left side hemplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, sezure disorder, sphalail, hypertainsion, and diadent-stroke hemplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions,					
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 331 Ellirslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficience, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. Example 1					
Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center Eor Information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Give residents proper treatment to prevent new bed (pressure) sores or heal existing bed sores. "NOTE-TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY" 34574 Based on observation, staff interview, facility documentation review, and clinical record review the facility staff falled to assess and implement interventions to prevent an unstageable pressure wound resulting in harm for Resident #5.1 his is a past non-compliance citation (PNC). The facility staff failed to monitor and assess Resident #5 resulting in the development of an unstageable pressure wound on her sacrum. Findings included: Resident #5.a (AGE) year-old female, was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included CVA (Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder, aphasia, hypertension, and diabetes. Resident #5.5 most recent MDS (Minimum Data Set) with an ARD (Assessment Reference Date) of 9/8/2017 was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1.2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being alvoys incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5 had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating chang		495115	B. Wing	11/09/2017	
Chesterfield, VA 23834 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) F 0314 Give residents proper treatment to prevent new bed (pressure) sores or heal existing bed sores. "NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY" 34574 Based on observation, staff intenview, facility documentation review, and clinical record review the facility staff fielded to assess and implement intervenions to prevent an unstaggeable pressure wound resulting in harm for Resident #5. This is a past non-compliance citation (PNC). The facility staff fielded to monitor and assess Resident #5 resulting in the development of an unstaggeable pressure wound on her sacrum. Findings included: Resident #5, a [AGE] year-old female, was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included CVA (Corebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder, aphasia, hypertension, and diabetes. Resident #5's most recent MDS (Minimum Data Set) with an ARD (Assessment Reference Date) of 9/8/2017 was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being instituted on 1-12 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being always incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5's clinical record was conducted on 11/7/2017 at 2:00 PM. MDS records showed that Resident #5's almost on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound develo	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLII	ER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE	
SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES [Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) F 0314 Level of Harm - Actual harm Residents Affected - Few Based on observation, staff interview, facility documentation review, and clinical record review the facility staff failed to assess and implement interventions to prevent an unstageable pressure wound resulting in harm for Resident #5. This is a past non-compliance citation (PNC). The facility staff failed to monitor and assess Resident #5 resulting in the development of an unstageable pressure wound on her sacrum. Findings included: Resident #5.a [ASE] year-old female, was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included CVA (Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder, aphasia, hypertension, and diabetes. Resident #5's mors recent MDS (Minimum Data Set) with an ARD (Assessment Reference Date) of 9/8/2017 was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5's accoded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1-2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being always incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5's dinical record was conducted on 11/7/2017 at 2:00 PM. MDS records showed that Resident #5 had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and bei	Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and	d Nursing Center			
Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information	For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.	
NOTE-TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY 34574 Based on observation, staff interview, facility documentation review, and clinical record review the facility staff failed to assess and implement interventions to prevent an unstageable pressure wound resulting in harm for Resident #5. This is a past non-compliance citation (PNC). The facility staff failed to monitor and assess Resident #5 resulting in the development of an unstageable pressure wound on her sacrum. Findings included: Resident #5, a [AGE] year-old female, was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included CVA (Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder, aphasia, hypertension, and diabetes. Resident #5's most recent MDS (Minimum Data Set) with an ARD (Assessment Reference Date) of 9/8/2017 was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1-2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being always incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5's clinical record was conducted on 11/1/2017 at 2:00 PM. MDS records showed that Resident #5's had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a milid risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as	(X4) ID PREFIX TAG				
Based on observation, staff interview, facility documentation review, and clinical record review the facility staff failed to assess and implement interventions to prevent an unstageable pressure wound resulting in harm for Resident #5. This is a past non-compliance citation (PNC). The facility staff failed to monitor and assess Resident #5 resulting in the development of an unstageable pressure wound on her sacrum. Findings included: Resident #5, a [AGE] year-old female, was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included CVA (Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder, aphasia, hypertension, and diabetes. Resident #5's most recent MDS (Minimum Data Set) with an ARD (Assessment Reference Date) of 9/8/2017 was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1-2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being always incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5's clinical record was conducted on 11/7/2017 at 2:00 PM. MDS records showed that Resident #5 had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specia	F 0314	Give residents proper treatment to	prevent new bed (pressure) sores or he	eal existing bed sores.	
staff failed to assess and implement interventions to prevent an unstageable pressure wound resulting in harm for Resident #5. This is a past non-compliance citation (PNC). The facility staff failed to monitor and assess Resident #5 resulting in the development of an unstageable pressure wound on her sacrum. Findings included: Resident #5, a [AGE] year-old female, was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included CVA (Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder, aphasia, hypertension, and diabetes. Resident #5's most recent MDS (Minimum Data Set) with an ARD (Assessment Reference Date) of 9/8/2017 was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1-2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being always incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5's clinical record was conducted on 11/7/2017 at 2:00 PM. MDS records showed that Resident #5 had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound	Level of Harm - Actual harm	**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H	HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CO	ONFIDENTIALITY** 34574	
Findings included: Resident #5, a [AGE] year-old female, was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included CVA (Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder, aphasia, hypertension, and diabetes. Resident #5's most recent MDS (Minimum Data Set) with an ARD (Assessment Reference Date) of 9/8/2017 was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1-2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being always incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5's clinical record was conducted on 11/7/2017 at 2:00 PM. MDS records showed that Resident #5 had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist Initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described 1 its auntageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0.1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.	Residents Affected - Few	staff failed to assess and implemen	nt interventions to prevent an unstageal		
Resident #5, a [AGE] year-old female, was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included CVA (Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder, aphasia, hypertension, and diabetes. Resident #5's most recent MDS (Minimum Data Set) with an ARD (Assessment Reference Date) of 9/8/2017 was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1-2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being always incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5's clinical record was conducted on 11/7/2017 at 2:00 PM. MDS records showed that Resident #5 had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist Initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described thas unstageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0.1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and prescribed Dakins moistened gauze with dry protective dressing daily. The physician followed up with Resident #5 every 7-10 days. An additional progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.			nd assess Resident #5 resulting in the	development of an unstageable	
(Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder, aphasia, hypertension, and diabetes. Resident #5's most recent MDS (Minimum Data Set) with an ARD (Assessment Reference Date) of 9/8/2017 was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1-2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being always incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5's clinical record was conducted on 11/7/2017 at 2:00 PM. MDS records showed that Resident #5 had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist Initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described it as unstageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0.1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and prescribed Dakins moistened gauze with dry protective dressing daily. The physician followed up with Resident #5 every 7-10 days. An additional progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.		Findings included:			
was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1-2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily living) and as being always incontinent of bowel and bladder. A review of Resident #5's clinical record was conducted on 11/7/2017 at 2:00 PM. MDS records showed that Resident #5 had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist Initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described it as unstageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0.1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and prescribed Dakins moistened gauze with dry protective dressing daily. The physician followed up with Resident #5 every 7-10 days. An additional progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.		(Cerebral Vascular Accident-stroke), left side hemiplegia/hemiparesis, convulsions, seizure disorder,			
Resident #5 had no wounds on admission to the facility. Braden scale is a clinical tool for predicting pressure wound risk. It consists of 6 categories-sensory, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist Initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described it as unstageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0.1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and prescribed Dakins moistened gauze with dry protective dressing daily. The physician followed up with Resident #5 every 7-10 days. An additional progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.		was coded as a quarterly assessment. Resident #5 was coded as having severely impaired cognition by staff assessment. She was also coded as being totally dependent on 1-2 persons for her ADL's (activities of daily			
moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores indicating a higher risk. Resident #5's Braden scale score on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mild risk for pressure wound development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist Initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described it as unstageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0.1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and prescribed Dakins moistened gauze with dry protective dressing daily. The physician followed up with Resident #5 every 7-10 days. An additional progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.				2:00 PM. MDS records showed that	
development. Progress notes revealed a note dated 6/7/2017 stating Change in condition noted related to resident noted with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist Initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described it as unstageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0.1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and prescribed Dakins moistened gauze with dry protective dressing daily. The physician followed up with Resident #5 every 7-10 days. An additional progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.		moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition	ility, nutrition, and friction/shear. Total scores can range from 6-23, with lower scores		
with open areas to left buttock and sacrum. This change in condition started on 6/7/2017. A Pressure Injury Record described this new wound as originating on 6/7/2017 and being a facility acquired wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist Initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described it as unstageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0.1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and prescribed Dakins moistened gauze with dry protective dressing daily. The physician followed up with Resident #5 every 7-10 days. An additional progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.			on 6/7/2017 was 16/23, indicating a mil	d risk for pressure wound	
wound to the sacrum. This record described the wound as unstageable 3.5 cm (centimeters) x 1.8 cm x 0.1 cm containing 100% yellow necrosis. Wound Care Specialist Initial Evaluation, a report by a contracted wound care physician, dated 6/14/2017 stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described it as unstageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0.1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and prescribed Dakins moistened gauze with dry protective dressing daily. The physician followed up with Resident #5 every 7-10 days. An additional progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.			0 0		
stated that the wound was caused by pressure and described it as unstageable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0. 1 cm. The physician surgically debrided the wound and prescribed Dakins moistened gauze with dry protective dressing daily. The physician followed up with Resident #5 every 7-10 days. An additional progress note dated 6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted at unstageable with 100% necrotic tissue in the wound bed.		wound to the sacrum. This record of	described the wound as unstageable 3.		
tissue in the wound bed.		stated that the wound was caused 1 cm. The physician surgically debi	by pressure and described it as unstag rided the wound and prescribed Dakins	eable necrosis 3.2 cm x 1.5 cm x 0. moistened gauze with dry	
(continued on next page)			6/14/2017 stated Sacral wound noted a	at unstageable with 100% necrotic	
		(continued on next page)			

	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 495115	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's pla	an to correct this deficiency, please cont	act the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
` '	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0314 Level of Harm - Actual harm Residents Affected - Few	A review of Resident #5's Care Plan follows: Barrier creme to perianal area/button Encourage and assist to reposition Observe skin condition with ADL (and Pressure redistributing device for beautiful provide preventative skincare routing Suspend/float heels as able On 11/8/2017 at 10:10 AM an intervision of the verified that the wound on Resident #5 was seen in her room of the wound was seen at the bottom 1.5 cm x 0.5 cm. Muscle below the At the end of day meeting on 11/8/2 Director of Nursing; Administration of Consultant were informed of the poor on 11/9/2017 at 9:00 AM Administr Consultant stated that the facility has result of a mock survey performed it correction was developed based on Resident #5's wound was found on The Plan of Correction is as follows 1. Residents with potential for wound to help track dates due for Bradens catheter care plans need to include 2. Like residents-all residents are put to the position of the position of the plans of the plans need to include 2. Like residents-all residents are put 3. Education to staff on completing	n revealed interventions dated 11/14/2 ocks as needed' ctivities of daily living) care daily; reported/chair nely and PRN (as needed) view was conducted with RN (Register ident #5's sacrum was initially found at the continuous of the sacrum within the gluteal folds. Subcutaneous tissue was visible. It was confused and the continuous of the sacrum within the gluteal folds. Subcutaneous tissue was visible. It was confused at the continuous of the sacrum within the gluteal folds. Subcutaneous tissue was visible. It was continuous tissue was visible. It was continuous of the sacrum within the gluteal folds. Subcutaneous tissue was visible. It was continuous tissue was visible. It was continuous tissue was visible. It was continuous tissue was visible and Admirated identified problems identifying and pun July 2017. Resident #5 was included these findings with an AOC (Allegatio 6/7/2017, prior to the AOC date. cut the size of the catheter and balloon. Under the size of the catheter and balloon. Under the continuous contin	ed Nurse) C, wound care nurse. It abnormalities ed Nurse) C, wound care nurse. It an unstageable wound. It examine the wound. The measurements were 1.4 cm x s a Stage 3 at this point. Ininistrator; Administration B, inistration D, Corporate RN ident #5's wound. Inistration C, Corporate RN in the mock survey. A plan of in of Compliance) date of 8/3/2017. It is 4 weeks. Utilize calendar sheets at at change of skin condition. Foley Update all as needed.

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 495115	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	Lact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		on)
F 0314 Level of Harm - Actual harm Residents Affected - Few	5. AOC date 8/3/2017	e wounds was included in the survey. F	

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 495115	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	ER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE
Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and	d Nursing Center	831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	I tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0315 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	medically necessary, and that inco and restore normal bladder function **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H Based on observation, staff intervie failed to ensure tubing for a urinary tubing for Resident #4's urinary cat	ers the nursing home without a catheter national patients receive proper services as. HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT Communication and clinical catheter was anchored for one of 24 related was not anchored to minimize terms.	on on the state of
	her plan of care. The findings include: Resident #4 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses that included end stage renal disease,		
	sacral pressure ulcer, COPD (chroi and anemia. The minimum data se On 11/8/17 at 9:10 a.m., accompar the position of Resident #4's urinar sacral pressure ulcer. The catheter	nic obstructive pulmonary disease), per t (MDS) dated [DATE] assessed Resid nied by registered nurse (RN) C and RN y catheter tubing was observed during tubing was not anchored in any mann- ne tube with movement. RN (C) was interest.	ripheral vascular disease, stroke ent #4 as cognitively intact. N (D) responsible for wound care, a dressing change to the resident's er to the resident's upper leg and/or
	observation about an anchor for the an anchor in use. On 11/8/17 at 9:40 a.m. the license the catheter in use without an anch	e tubing. RN (C) stated the tubing shound by the tubing shound practical nurse (LPN) D caring for Report for the tubing. LPN (D) stated the case or was supposed to be positioned on the tubing.	ald be anchored but she did not see esident #4 was interviewed about atheter tubing was supposed to be
	to management of a stage 4 sacral documented the resident used an i interventions to prevent catheter co	nented a physician's order dated 9/26/1 pressure ulcer. The resident's plan of andwelling urinary catheter due to a sac amplications included, Secure catheter	care (revised 10/16/17) ral pressure ulcer. Plan of care with securement device.
	patient with an indwelling catheter, adhesive anchor, or other securem and traction on the urethra. Pulling	ne Lippincott Manual of Nursing Practice 10th edition on page 781 states concerning management of a stient with an indwelling catheter, Secure the indwelling catheter to patient's thigh using tape, strap, thesive anchor, or other securement device .Properly securing the catheter prevents catheter movement and traction on the urethra . Pulling on the catheter may be painful. Backward and forward displacement of the catheter introduces contaminants into the urinary tract . (1)	
	These findings were reviewed with 3:55 p.m.	the administrator and director of nursir	ng during a meeting on 11/8/17 at
	(1) Nettina, [NAME] M. Lippincott M [NAME], 2014.	Manual of Nursing Practice. Philadelphia	a: Wolters Kluwer Health/[NAME] &

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SURVEY	
AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED	
	495115	B. Wing	11/09/2017	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	ER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE	
Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and	Nursing Center	831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834		
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0362	Hire sufficient dietary support person	onnel.		
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H	IAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CO	ONFIDENTIALITY** 31199	
Residents Affected - Few	Based on observation, Family and Resident interview, staff interview, facility documentation review, clinical record review, and in the course of a complaint investigation, facility staff failed to employ sufficient support staff to provide timely serving of meals and feeding assistance for 1 resident (Resident #9) in the survey sample of 24 residents.			
	Facility staff failed to provide delive Resident #9.	ry of the Lunch meal tray and feeding a	assistance in a timely manner for	
	The Findings included:			
	Resident #9 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Resident #9's diagnoses included: Malignant neuro-endocrine tumors, hypertension, diabetes, high cholesterol, dementia, Muscle Weakness, anemia, and arthritis.			
	The Minimum Data Set, was a full admission Assessment, with an Assessment Reference Date (ARD) of 10-27-17, coded Resident #9 as usually being understood and usually able to understand. In addition, Resident #9 was coded as requiring extensive assistance of one staff member for all activities of daily living including feeding during meals, and set-up of meals.			
	On 11-7-17 at 2:30 P.M., after the initial tour of the facility, Resident #9's spouse appret the nursing station, and stated I am really angry about your meal problem here, speak the surveyor no time to introduce herself. The Spouse of Resident #9 assumed the sumember. The spouse of Resident #9 rapidly went on to say I am here every day now a can't trust the staff here to feed my wife. The spouse of Resident #9 allowed the surve speak and introduce self, and then he explained what the issues were. Three nursing present during the encounter (the unit manager, the nurse working with Resident #9, a nurse). Resident #9's spouse stated it was well after 2:00 p.m. every day before Residunch meal, and stated that the staff just replaced one tray with another, as the breakf, when the lunch tray arrived. The surveyor observed the lunch tray being taken into Rethe time was 2:30 p.m. she has been here three weeks, the spouse stated, and this has since we got here.			
	An interview was conducted with LPN F at the nursing station, with the unit manager standing beside her, immediately after the encounter with Resident #9's spouse. LPN F stated there were 2 reasons why the tray was so late. She stated, number one, we have to deliver trays to all of the Residents who can feed themselves first, and then we take the trays to the feeders, who have to be fed, and number two, there are not enough of us to do both at the same time.			
	After the staff interview was conducted, the surveyor proceeded to the room of Resident #9 spouse was attempting to feed her, and asked her how her meal tasted. Resident #9 stated it, it is too late.			
	During observations and interviews untouched food trays in it.	t, the food cart was observed to be sitti	ng in the hallway with several	
	(continued on next page)			

			No. 0938-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's	nlan to correct this deficiency please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC		<u> </u>
F 0362 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	unit for lunch between 11:55 a.m., and the Resident was noted to have a extra nutrition as well as being able order. The Nutritional Care Plan was review provide diet as ordered The care plan Resident #9's nursing progress not and it was thought to be related to 11-7-17, and was planning to be died on 11-8-17 a review of the facility provide assistance.	gastrostomy tube, and was receiving e to eat a regular diet, for which the Resewed, and read, Will tolerate regular die	nteral feeding through the tube for sident had a current physician's et through next review ., and, 11-2-17 a significant weight loss, dered to have hospice services on date with hospice services. ucted. The policy stated that unable to do so independently.

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 495115 STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 33 TEIERSID AVE Chosterfield, VA 23834 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please confact the nursing home or the state survey agency. [X4] ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES [Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatorry or LSC identifying information] Store, cook, and serve food in a safe and clean way. 2 1875 Based on observation, staff interview and facility document review, the facility staff failed to prepare and distribute food in a samilary manner from the main kitchen. Ten large baking pams, identified as ready for use, were stored on a rack nested and wet. The findings include: On 11/17/17 at 12.45 p.m. accompanied by the food services director, the kitchen was inspected. Ten large baking pams, identified as ready for use, were stored on a rack nested and wet. As the pans were separated, moisture was observed and fell on the baking surfaces of the pams. The food services director stated the pans were not supposed to be stacked and stored with The food services director stated and pams were washed and samilar, manner. Food preparation equipment and utensis that are manually washed will be allowed to air dry whenever practical. These findings were reviewed with the administrator and director of nursing during a meeting on 11/8/17 at 3.55 p.m.				No. 0938-0391
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Store, cook, and serve food in a safe and clean way. 21875 Based on observation, staff interview and facility document review, the facility staff failed to prepare and distribute food in a sanitary manner from the main kitchen. Ten large baking pans, identified as ready for use, were stored nested and wet. The findings include: On 11/7/17 at 12:45 p.m. accompanied by the food services director, the kitchen was inspected. Ten large baking pans, identified by the food services director as ready for use, were stored on a rack nested and wet. As the pans were separated, moisture was observed and felt on the baking surfaces of the pans. The food services director was interviewed at the time of this observation about the wet pans. The food services director stated the pans were not supposed to be stacked and stored wet. The food services director stated all pans were washed and sanitized in the three compartment sink and were supposed to dry on the designated drying rack prior to stacking/storing. The facility's dietary services policy titled Sanitization (revised December 2008) stated, The food service area shall be maintained in a clean and sanitary manner. Food preparation equipment and utensils that are manually washed will be allowed to air dry whenever practical. These findings were reviewed with the administrator and director of nursing during a meeting on 11/8/17 at		IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Store, cook, and serve food in a safe and clean way. 21875 Based on observation, staff interview and facility document review, the facility staff failed to prepare and distribute food in a sanitary manner from the main kitchen. Ten large baking pans, identified as ready for use, were stored nested and wet. The findings include: On 11/7/17 at 12:45 p.m. accompanied by the food services director, the kitchen was inspected. Ten large baking pans, identified by the food services director as ready for use, were stored on a rack nested and wet. As the pans were separated, moisture was observed and felt on the baking surfaces of the pans. The food services director was interviewed at the time of this observation about the wet pans. The food services director stated the pans were washed and sanitized in the three compartment sink and were supposed to dry on the designated drying rack prior to stacking/storing. The facility's dietary services policy titled Sanitization (revised December 2008) stated, The food service area shall be maintained in a clean and sanitary manner. Food preparation equipment and utensils that are manually washed will be allowed to air dry whenever practical. These findings were reviewed with the administrator and director of nursing during a meeting on 11/8/17 at			831 Ellerslie Ave	P CODE
SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Store, cook, and serve food in a safe and clean way. 21875 Based on observation, staff interview and facility document review, the facility staff failed to prepare and distribute food in a sanitary manner from the main kitchen. Ten large baking pans, identified as ready for use, were stored nested and wet. The findings include: On 11/7/17 at 12:45 p.m. accompanied by the food services director, the kitchen was inspected. Ten large baking pans, identified by the food services director as ready for use, were stored on a rack nested and wet. As the pans were separated, moisture was observed and felt on the baking surfaces of the pans. The food services director was interviewed at the time of this observation about the wet pans. The food services director stated the pans were not supposed to be stacked and stored wet. The food services director stated all pans were washed and sanitized in the three compartment sink and were supposed to dry on the designated drying rack prior to stacking/storing. The facility's dietary services policy titled Sanitization (revised December 2008) stated, The food service area shall be maintained in a clean and sanitary manner. Food preparation equipment and utensils that are manually washed will be allowed to air dry whenever practical. These findings were reviewed with the administrator and director of nursing during a meeting on 11/8/17 at	For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	,	agency.
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Some Based on observation, staff interview and facility document review, the facility staff failed to prepare and distribute food in a sanitary manner from the main kitchen. Ten large baking pans, identified as ready for use, were stored nested and wet. The findings include: On 11/7/17 at 12:45 p.m. accompanied by the food services director, the kitchen was inspected. Ten large baking pans, identified by the food services director as ready for use, were stored on a rack nested and wet. As the pans were separated, moisture was observed and felt on the baking surfaces of the pans. The food services director was interviewed at the time of this observation about the wet pans. The food services director stated the pans were not supposed to be stacked and stored wet. The food services director stated all pans were washed and sanitized in the three compartment sink and were supposed to dry on the designated drying rack prior to stacking/storing. The facility's dietary services policy titled Sanitization (revised December 2008) stated, The food service area shall be maintained in a clean and sanitary manner. Food preparation equipment and utensils that are manually washed will be allowed to air dry whenever practical. These findings were reviewed with the administrator and director of nursing during a meeting on 11/8/17 at		SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC	CIENCIES	
	Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	Store, cook, and serve food in a sa 21875 Based on observation, staff intervied distribute food in a sanitary manner were stored nested and wet. The findings include: On 11/7/17 at 12:45 p.m. accompation baking pans, identified by the food As the pans were separated, moist services director was interviewed a director stated the pans were not all pans were washed and sanitized designated drying rack prior to state the facility's dietary services policy shall be maintained in a clean and manually washed will be allowed to these findings were reviewed with	fe and clean way. ew and facility document review, the fact from the main kitchen. Ten large baking a price of the main kitchen. Ten large baking a price of the main kitchen. Ten large baking a price of the main kitchen. Ten large baking a price of the main kitchen. Ten large baking a price of the main kitchen. Ten large baking were did not the this observation about the upposed to be stacked and stored weter and in the three compartment sink and we king/storing. It titled Sanitization (revised December sanitary manner. Food preparation equal of the main when we have a price of the main way.	cility staff failed to prepare and ng pans, identified as ready for use, stitchen was inspected. Ten large a stored on a rack nested and wet. g surfaces of the pans. The food wet pans. The food services The food services director stated are supposed to dry on the

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 495115	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 11/09/2017
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE	
Colonial Heights Rehabilitation and Nursing Center		831 Ellerslie Ave Chesterfield, VA 23834	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0431	Maintain drug records and properly mark/label drugs and other similar products according to accepted professional standards. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 27662 Based on observation, staff interview, and facility documentation review, the facility staff failed to ensure biologicals and medications were stored appropriately on three of three units.		
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm			
Residents Affected - Few			
	On The [NAME] Unit , one PPD (purified protein derivative) dated as opened [DATE] was available for administration to Residents. A second vial was opened with no date when opened. PPD is only good for 30 days after opened and accessed;		
	2. On the [NAME] Unit, a vial of flu vaccine was opened without a date.		
	3. On the [NAME] unit, two vials of flu vaccine was open without a date.		
	The findings included:		
	On The [NAME] Unit , one PPD (purified protein derivative) dated as opened [DATE] was available for administration to Residents. A second vial was opened with no date when opened. PPD is only good for 30 days after opened and accessed.		
	On [DATE] at 12:55 PM, during the initial tour, a vial of opened PPD was dated as having been opened on [DATE], over 30 days old.		
	PPD is a solution that is utilized to test Residents and staff for exposure to tuberculosis.		
	When interviewed,LPN (licensed practical nurse) A stated at the time of the observation, it's expired. She removed the PPD solution from the medication refrigerator. Drug Storage requirements provided by the facility were the following instructions: Remove 30 days after opening.		
	Guidance was also provided at www.fda.gov:		
	Vials in use for more than 30 days should be discarded.		
	2. On the [NAME] Unit, a vial of multidose flu vaccine was opened without a date.		
	On [DATE] at 1:20 PM, during the initial tour, one vial of flu vaccine had been opened. There was no date when the vial was opened. RN (registered nurse) A stated, We will throw it out. We should date it.		
	3. On the [NAME] unit, two vials of flu vaccine was open without a date.		
	On [DATE] at 1:25 PM, during the initial tour, two vials of multidose flu vaccine had been opened. There was no date when the vials were opened. RN (registered nurse) B stated, We are supposed to date it.		
	On [DATE] at approximately 2:00 PM, the Director of Nursing was notified of the above findings.		