Printed: 12/15/2024 Form Approved OMB No. 0938-0391

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345345	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/17/2022
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Accordius Health at Monroe		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 204 Old Highway 74 East Monroe, NC 28112	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0550 Level of Harm - Actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Honor the resident's right to a dignified existence, self-determination, communication, and to exercise his or her rights. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 32503 Based on observations, resident interviews, staff interviews and record review the facility failed to 1) respond to the call bell when toileting assistance was required resulting in a resident who was occasionally incontinent becoming soiled causing the resident to feel frustrated and upset; 2) respond to a resident's need to go to bed and alleviate pain by not answering the call light for 40 minutes; and 3) stood up over a resident at the bedside while providing eating assistance for 3 of 3 residents (Residents #14, #6, & #16) reviewed for dignity. The findings included: 1. Resident #14 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. Her diagnoses included Diabetes, muscle weakness and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). The quarterly Minimum Data Set assessment dated [DATE] reported Resident #14 was cognitively intact. She required extensive assistance for toileting and transfers. Resident #14 required staff assistance for moving on and off the toilet. She was occasionally incontinent of bowel and bladder. The care plan revised on 1/3/22 indicated Resident #14 had an alteration in musculoskeletal status related to ALS. The interventions included Anticipate and meet needs. Be sure call light is within reach and respond promptly to all request for assistance. The care plan also indicated Resident #14 had an ADL (Activities of Daily Living) self-care performance deficit related to her disease process of ALS. The intervention included Toilet Use: The resident requires extensive assistance by staff for tolleting. On 6/13/22 at 4:02 PM Resident #14 stated she had to wait over an hour to go to the bathroom. She said she did not remember the exact date but had it in a text message on her telephone. She explained the time of the text messages verified the length of time she had to wait before anyone came to assist her to		

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete Event ID:

Facility ID: 345345

If continuation sheet Page 1 of 8

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345345	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/17/2022	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Accordius Health at Monroe		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 204 Old Highway 74 East Monroe, NC 28112		
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0550 Level of Harm - Actual harm	On 6/14/22 at 5:26 PM during an interview Resident #14 stated having a bowel movement on herself made her feel upset. She stated she was frustrated and more concerned about the damage it could cause to have stool in and around her peritoneal area which could cause some infection or lead to an ulcer.			
Residents Affected - Few	A review of the Nursing Assignmen on the 7:00 AM -3:00 PM shift.	nt for 4/3/22 revealed only Nursing Assi	stant (NA) #4 and NA #5 worked	
	Attempts to interview NA #4 and Na	A #5 were unsuccessful.		
		#1 stated she was a nursing assistant as not aware of Resident #14 having so		
		6/22 at 3:45 PM the Assistant Director of Nursing reported she was unaware Resident #14 had soiled due to her call bell not being answered.		
	37468			
	2. Resident #6 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. The resident's active diagnoses included stroke, anemia, coronary artery disease, spinal stenosis of lumbar region with neurogenic claudication, and lower back pain.			
	Resident #6's Minimum Data Set assessment dated [DATE] revealed she was assessed as cognitively intact and had no behaviors. She required extensive assistance with bed mobility and transfers.			
	self-care performance deficit relate	dent #6's care plan dated 3/31/22 revealed she was care planned to have an activities of daily living care performance deficit related to activity intolerance, confusion, and impaired balance. The ventions included the resident required extensive assistance by staff for transfers.		
	on. Resident #6 was observed up i surveyor that her legs would get tin She stated it was okay if the survey stated it would probably be a while She stated she told the nurse about always alleviated the pain to her lessome pain medication and then infegoing on the hall to find someone be more pain to find someone than to she considered bearable but being would let the surveyor know if the pain to find someone if the pain to find someone than to she considered bearable but being	in 6/13/22 from 2:45 PM - 3:28 PM, Resign her wheelchair in her room watching ed and start hurting around 3:00 PM who or observed how long it would take for because she would request to go to be at five minutes ago that she was in pain gright from being in the chair all day. Resign her she would get the nurse aid out she self-propelled with her feet and wait for an hour. The resident stated her left in the chair made her feel uncomform became unbearable and needed the long it took for the call light to be answer.	TV. The resident stated to the hen she was up in her wheelchair. It staff to answer her call bell. She ed and sometimes it took 'hours.' and needed to be put to bed which dent #6 stated the nurse gave her ee. She stated she had considered she believed it would cause her er pain was at a 5 out of 10 which ortable. Resident #6 concluded she he surveyor to find staff for her but	
	(continued on next page)			

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES				10. 0930-0391
Accordius Health at Monroe 204 Old Highway 74 East Monroe, NC 28112 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) The continuous observation continued and on 6/13/22 at 3:24 PM Nurse Aide #2 entered the resident's roor and asked what Resident #6 needed. Resident #6 informed the nurse aide she needed to go to bed. The nurse aide went to find another staff to assist, and Resident #6 was put in bed at 3:28 PM. Nurse Aide #2 stated she was not Resident #6 so and another halfs for surves aide but she had noted the call light was on, so she was helping. She did not know where the resident's nurse aide or nurse was. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:07 PM Nurse Aide #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse aide or unse was on another hall, she then checked the halls before going to break 50 PM. She stated she did not know whore the resident's ensure aide or nurse was. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:07 PM Nurse Aide #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse aide or unserview going to break 50 PM. She stated she did not know where the resident's ensure was sensident #6's nurse. She further stated call light twe minutes depending on if she was with another resident. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:09 PM Nurse #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse. She further stated call light twe minutes depending on if she was with another resident. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:09 PM Nurse #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse. She further stated call light twe minutes depending on if she was with another resident. During an interview 6/13/22 at 4:16 PM the Director of Nursing stated 40 minutes was not an acceptable amount of time for a resident to wait on a call light to a call light to minute was a dark the interview 6/13/22 at 4:16 PM the Director of Nursing stated 40 minutes was not an accep		IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED
SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) The continuous observation continued and on 6/13/22 at 3:24 PM Nurse Aide #2 entered the resident's roor and asked what Resident #6 needed. Resident #6 informed the nurse aide she needed to go to bed. The nurse aide what Resident #6 needed. Resident #6 was put in bed at 3:28 PM. Nurse Aide #2 stated she was not Resident #7 to assist, and Resident #6 was put in bed at 3:28 PM. Nurse Aide #2 stated she was not Resident #6 nurse aide but she had noted the call light was on, so she was helping. She did not know where the resident's nurse aide or nurse was. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:07 PM Nurse Aide #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse aide. She further stated she was unaware of Resident #6's call light being on because she had a split assignment and was on another hall, she then checked the halls before going to breat 3:00 PM. She stated breaks lasted 30 minutes, so the issue was resolved before at 1.00 PM in 1.00 PM and it was a thinty-minute break was Resident #6's nurse. She further stated or within five minutes depending on if she was with another resident. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:09 PM Nurse #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse. She further stated call lights were to be answered as soon as they were noted to be on. She stated a call light being unanswered from 2:45 PM to 3:24 PM was too long for a call light to remain unanswered. She stated she went to break at 3:00 PM And it was a thirty-minute break which was why she and not identified Resident #f had her light on. During an interview 6/13/22 at 4:16 PM the Director of Nursing stated 40 minutes was not an acceptable amount of time for a resident to wait on a call light and that staff responsible for the same residents should coordinate their breaks to be staggered in order to have someone monitoring the hall during the other staff member's break. 40200 3. Resident #16 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with			204 Old Highway 74 East	IP CODE
(Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) The continuous observation continued and on 6/13/22 at 3:24 PM Nurse Aide #2 entered the resident's roor and asked what Resident #6 needed. Resident #6 informed the nurse aide she needed to go to bed. The nurse aide she use not find another staff to assist, and Resident #6 was put in bed at 3:28 PM. Nurse Aide #2 stated she was not Resident #6's nurse aide but she had noted the call light was on, so she was helping. She did not know where the resident's nurse aide or nurse was. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:07 PM Nurse Aide #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse aide. She further stated she was unaware of Resident #6's call light being on because she had a split assignment and was on another hall, she then checked the halls before going to break at 3:00 PM. She stated she did not know how she missed her light was on at 2:45 PM as she had checked the hallways prior to break. She stated breaks lasted 30 minutes, so the issue was resolved before she returned to the hall. She concluded from 2:45 PM to 3:24 PM was too long for a call light to be on and it should have been answered immediate or within five minutes depending on if she was with another resident. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:09 PM Nurse #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse. She further stated call lights were to be answered as soon as they were noted to be on. She stated a call light being unanswered from 2:45 PM to 3:24 PM was stoo long for a call light or mean unanswered. She stated she went to break at 3:00 PM and it was a thirty-minute break which was why she had not identified Resident #6' had her light on. During an interview 6/13/22 at 4:16 PM the Director of Nursing stated 40 minutes was not an acceptable amount of time for a resident to wait on a call light and that staff responsible for the same residents should coordinate their breaks to be staggered in order to have someone monitoring the hall during the other staff member's break. 40200 3	For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	l tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
and asked what Resident #6 needed. Resident #6 informed the nurse aide she needed to go to bed. The nurse aide went to find another staff to assist, and Resident #6 was put in bed at 3.29 PM. Nurse Aide #2 stated she was not Resident #6's nurse aide but she had noted the call light was on, so she was helping. She did not know where the resident's nurse aide or nurse was. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:07 PM Nurse Aide #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse aide. She further stated she was unaware of Resident #6's call light being on because she had a split assignment and was on another hall, she then checked the halls before going to break at 3:00 PM. She stated she did not know how she missed her light was on at 2:45 PM as she had checked the hallways prior to break. She stated breaks lasted 30 minutes, so the issue was resolved before she returned to the hall. She concluded from 2:45 PM to 3:24 PM was too long for a call light to be on and it should have been answered immediate or within five minutes depending on if she was with another resident. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:09 PM Nurse #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse. She further stated call lights were to be answered as soon as they were noted to be on. She stated a call light being unanswered from 2:45 PM to 3:24 PM was too long for a call light to remain unanswered. She stated she went to break at 3:00 PM and it was a thirty-minute break which was why she had not identified Resident #6 had her light to. During an interview 6/13/22 at 4:16 PM the Director of Nursing stated 40 minutes was not an acceptable amount of time for a resident to wait on a call light and that staff responsible for the same residents should coordinate their breaks to be staggered in order to have someone monitoring the hall during the other staff member's break. 40200 3. Resident #16 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] with diagnoses which included non-Alzheimer's dementia and dysphagia (difficulty swallowing foods or liquids). The quarterly Minimum Data Se	(X4) ID PREFIX TAG			ion)
On 6/13/22 at 12:59 PM an interview was conducted with the Director of Nursing (DON) stated that staff should know to sit while feeding a resident and she did not know why the NA had not done so. On 6/15/22 at 3:31 PM an interview was conducted with the Administrator who stated that staff should not stand to feed a resident and he did not know why this had occurred.	Level of Harm - Actual harm	(Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) The continuous observation continued and on 6/13/22 at 3:24 PM Nurse Aide #2 entered the resident's and asked what Resident #6 needed. Resident #6 informed the nurse aide she needed to go to bed. The nurse aide went to find another staff to assist, and Resident #6 was put in bed at 3:28 PM. Nurse Aide stated she was not Resident #6's nurse aide but she had noted the call light was on, so she was helping She did not know where the resident's nurse aide or nurse was. During an interview on 6/13/22 at 4:07 PM Nurse Aide #1 stated she was Resident #6's nurse aide. She further stated she was unaware of Resident #6's call light being on because she had a split assignmen was on another hall, she then checked the halls before going to break at 3:00 PM. She stated she did know how she missed her light was on at 2:45 PM as she had checked the hallways prior to break. She stated breaks lasted 30 minutes, so the issue was resolved before she returned to the hall. She conclusions of the stated of the state of the state of the same residents she coordinate their breaks to be staggered in order to have someone monitoring the hall during the other statements and dysphagia (difficulty swallowing foods or liquids). The quarterly Minimum Data Set indicated Resident #16 had severe cognitive impairment and was tot dependent on staff for eating. On 6/13/22 at 12:45 PM an observation was made of Nurse Aide (NA) #2 standing at Resident #16's be while feeding the resident her lunch. The resident's head of bed was in an uprig		Aide #2 entered the resident's room to she needed to go to bed. The in bed at 3:28 PM. Nurse Aide #2 ght was on, so she was helping. Resident #6's nurse aide. She is se she had a split assignment and 3:00 PM. She stated she did not to he hallways prior to break. She turned to the hall. She concluded lid have been answered immediately dent #6's nurse. She further stated a stated a call light being ain unanswered. She stated she she had not identified Resident #6 minutes was not an acceptable ole for the same residents should ring the hall during the other staff iich included non-Alzheimer's hitive impairment and was totally a standing at Resident #16's bedside in upright position and the NA stood of chair in the room for the NA to use. The she had not done so.

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345345	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/17/2022	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Accordius Health at Monroe		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 204 Old Highway 74 East Monroe, NC 28112		
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	I tact the nursing home or the state survey a	agency.	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)			
F 0684 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Provide appropriate treatment and **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H Based on observations, record reviobtain orders and provide treatment for wound care. Findings included: Resident #53 was admitted to the f failure, Diabetes Mellitus and renal Review of Resident #53's hospital oright heel ulcer. Medihoney is a gel The admission Minimum Data Set or required limited or extensive assist no behaviors and to have 1 stage 3 wound present on admission. Resident #53's admitting daily skin lateral leg wound. No wound mease Resident #53's wound care consult kerlix (gauze bandage) with drainage Physician's orders revealed an order cleanser, apply silver alginate (an accept and a severy day shift for wound care. Resident #53's Treatment Administ completed on 4/19, 4/20, 4/21, 4/22 An interview on 6/14/22 at 2:25 PM right heel wound on 4/18/22 and must howorked part-time so was unable to been changed. An interview on 6/16/22 at 9:24 AM and did not remember if she had of changed the dressing, she would head interview on 6/15/22 at 4:29 PM and interview on 6/15/22 at 4:29 PM	Provide appropriate treatment and care according to orders, resident's preferences and goals. "NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY** 40200 Based on observations, record review, and resident, staff, and Physician interviews, the facility failed to obtain orders and provide treatment of a right heel vascular ulcer (Resident #53) for 1 of 1 resident reviewed for wound care. Findings included: Resident #53 was admitted to the facility on [DATE]. She had diagnoses which included congestive heart failure, Diabetes Mellitus and renal insufficiency. Review of Resident #53's hospital discharge instructions dated 4/11/22 read, in part, to apply Medihoney to right heel ulcer. Medihoney is a gel wound dressing. The admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE] indicated Resident #53 was cognitively intact and required limited or extensive assistance for most activities of daily living. Her MDS was also coded to have no behaviors and to have 1 stage 3 pressure ulcer present on admission, 1 venous ulcer, and 1 surgical wound present on admission. Resident #53's admitting daily skin assessment dated [DATE] read, in part, that resident had a vascular right lateral leg wound. No wound measurements were included. Resident #53's wound care consultant note dated 4/12/22 read, in part, that the right foot was wrapped with kerix (gauze bandage) with drainage on the bandage. Physician's orders revealed an order dated 4/18/22 for right heel vascular ulcer to be cleansed with wound cleanser, apply silver alginate (an absorbent antimicrobial dressing) and cover with gauze and kerlix wrap every day shift for wound care. Resident #53's Treatment Administration Record (TAR) for April 2022 revealed this order was signed as completed on 4/19, 4/20, 4/21, 4/22. There were no signatures on 4/18 or 4/23. An interview on 6/14/22 at 2:25 PM with the Wound Care Nurse revealed she first observed Resident #53's right heel wound on 4/18/22. She stated she initiated wound care orders and put a note in the Phy		
	Resident #53's right heel vascular wound. He stated he expected the facility to follow hospital orders or notify him if they had questions. (continued on next page)			

			No. 0938-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345345	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/17/2022
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIE	ER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	IP CODE
Accordius Health at Monroe		204 Old Highway 74 East Monroe, NC 28112	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	ion)
F 0684 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	have been assessed and wound ca	I with the Director of Nursing (DON) revare orders initiated on admission for he und had no treatment orders until 4/18, 3/22.	r right heel wound. She stated she
Residents Affected - Few		I with the Administrator revealed he wa d he expected the facility to follow esta	

	1		
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345345	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/17/2022
		D. Willy	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE
Accordius Health at Monroe 204 Old Highway 74 East Monroe, NC 28112		,	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0842 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or	Safeguard resident-identifiable information and/or maintain medical records on each resident that are in accordance with accepted professional standards.		
potential for actual harm	**NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS H	IAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CO	ONFIDENTIALITY** 40200
Residents Affected - Some		nterviews, the facility failed to maintain splint application (Resident #12) for 2	
	The findings included:		
		e facility on [DATE] and died at the fac ilure, Diabetes Mellitus and renal insuff	
	The admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) dated [DATE] indicated Resident #53 was cognitively intact and required limited or extensive assistance for most activities of daily living. Her MDS was also coded to have 1 stage 3 pressure ulcer present on admission, 1 venous ulcer, and 1 surgical wound present on admission.		
	a. Review of Physician's orders revealed an order dated [DATE] for the left foot surgical wound to be cleansed with wound cleanser and apply a dry dressing every day shift for wound care.		
	Review of Resident #53's Treatment Administration Record (TAR) for [DATE] revealed the left foot surgical wound was signed as completed ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE]. d+[DATE]. There were no signatures on ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], or ,d+[DATE].		
	b. Review of Physician's orders revealed an order dated [DATE] for the stage 3 pressure ulcer to the sacrum to be cleansed with wound cleanser and apply skin prep around the wound and silver alginate (an absorbent antimicrobial dressing) and cover with bordered foam dressing every day shift for wound care. Review of Resident #53's TAR for [DATE] revealed the sacrum pressure ulcer wound was signed as completed ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], and ,d+[DATE]. There were no signatures on ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], or ,d+[DATE].		
		ealed an order dated [DATE] for right h Iginate and cover with gauze and kerlix	
		[DATE] revealed the right heel vascular E], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE]. There were	
	(continued on next page)		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345345	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/17/2022
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Accordius Health at Monroe		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 204 Old Highway 74 East Monroe, NC 28112	
For information on the nursing home's p	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0842 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Some	An interview on [DATE] at 2:25 PM with the Wound Care Nurse revealed she first observed Resident #53's right heel wound on [DATE]. She stated she completed the dressing change for the right heel wound on [DATE] and must have forgotten to sign the TAR. The Wound Care Nurse stated she only worked part-time so was unable to say when or if she had seen the sacrum pressure ulcer or left foot wounds before or when the dressings had last been changed. The Wound Care Nurse was unable to say whether or not she had completed the resident's wound care on the days the TAR had not been signed.		
	An interview on [DATE] at 9:24 AM with Nurse #2 revealed she was responsible for wound care on [DATE] and [DATE] and did not remember if she had changed Resident #53's wound dressings or not. She stated if she had changed the dressing, she would have signed it.		
		with Nurse #1 revealed she was respo eted wound care but forgot to sign it.	onsible for wound care on [DATE]
	have been assessed with documer for her right heel wound. She stated [DATE] or why her wound care treat	with the Director of Nursing (DON) revoted wound measurements and wound dishe did not know why her right heel witment had been missed on [DATE]. The ining as completed. She stated that stated	care orders initiated on admission wound had no treatment orders until ne DON revealed she expected staff
		with the Administrator revealed he wa d he expected the facility to follow esta	, ,
	2. Resident #12 was admitted to th	e facility on [DATE] with diagnoses whi	ich included Diabetes Mellitus.
	cognition and required limited or ex	MDS) dated [DATE] indicated Resident tensive assistance for most activities oction of care. She was coded to have a	of daily living. Her MDS was also
	related to impaired balance and he	n last revised on [DATE] revealed a foc miparesis. This focus had an interventi splint applied daily for 4 continuous ho oplication.	on which included for resident to
	right resting hand/wrist splint daily the application. Further review of the Meview of the May TAR also revea	nt Administration Record (TAR) for [DA for 4 continuous hours and to inspect the lay TAR revealed Nurse #2 had signed led the Wound Care Nurse had signed lint order had no signature as being co d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE], ,d+[DATE].	ne skin before and after the splint I this order as completed 8 times. this order as completed 10 times.
		Jun 2022 from [DATE] through Jun 15, s completed 7 times, the Wound Care ([DATE]).	
	(continued on next page)		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 345345	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 06/17/2022
NAME OF PROMPTS OF SUPPLIE		CTDEET ADDRESS OUT CTATE TO	ID CODE
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLII	ER	STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	I CODE
Accordius Health at Monroe 204 Old Highway 74 East Monroe, NC 28112			
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFIC (Each deficiency must be preceded by	CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informat	ion)
F 0842 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or	wear the right-hand splint.	progress notes revealed no documenta	
potential for actual harm	An observation on [DATE] at 8:14 /	AM revealed the right-hand splint was	aying on the bedside table.
Residents Affected - Some	An observation and interview on [DATE] at 8:46 AM with Resident #12 revealed she was not wearing her splint. Further observation revealed the splint lying on top of the bedside table and not within the resident's reach. Resident #12 stated the staff did not put the splint on her right hand and she did not refuse to wear the splint.		
	#12's right-hand splint and had nev	M with the Wound Care Nurse revealed ver applied it. She was unable to state that she should have looked for the sp	why she had signed the order as
	An observation and interview on [DATE] at 9:17 AM with Nurse #2 confirmed that Resident #12 was not wearing a right-hand splint. Nurse #2 stated the resident usually refused to wear the splint. Nurse #2 applied the splint to the resident's right hand and stated, I don't know how to do this. Nurse #2 also stated she did not know why she had signed the order as completed on [DATE], 14, 15, 21, 22, 27, 30 and [DATE], 11, 12.		
		with the Director of Nursing revealed soleted. She stated that staff should not	
		with the Administrator revealed he wa d he expected the facility to follow esta	
	•		