Printed: 01/22/2025 Form Approved OMB No. 0938-0391

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 275103 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Continental Care and Rehabilitation For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please con-		(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 2400 Continental Dr Butte, MT 59701	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
F 0600 Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety Residents Affected - Many			

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete Event ID:

Facility ID: 275103

If continuation sheet Page 1 of 8

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 275103	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 09/16/2022
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS SITU STATE TO SODE	
Continental Care and Rehabilitatio		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 2400 Continental Dr	P CODE
Continental Care and Nerlabilitation		Butte, MT 59701	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		on)
F 0600	Review of resident #73's monthly w	veight record from January 2022 to Jun	e 2022 showed:
Level of Harm - Immediate	- 1/9/22 230.0 pounds,		
jeopardy to resident health or safety	- 1/23/22 202.6 pounds,		
Residents Affected - Many	- 2/14/22 177.6 pounds,		
	- 3/20/22 167.8 pounds,		
	- 3/22/22 171.8 pounds,		
	- 3/28/22 170.8 pounds,		
	- 4/4/22 172.0 pounds,		
	- 5/16/22 171.0 pounds, and		
	- 5/16/22 17 1.0 pounds, and - 6/23/22 163.0 pounds.		
	Resident #73 lost 40 pounds from 1/9/22 to 6/23/22. This was a severe weight loss of 19.7 % in six months. Facility staff neglected to identify an insidious severe weight loss for the resident.		
	During an interview on 9/14/22 at 2:38 p.m., staff member G was not aware of resident #73's insidious, severe weight loss. Staff member G did not know if resident #73's physician had been notified of the severe weight loss. Staff member G said nursing staff were supposed to notify the physician of weight changes.		
	Review of resident #73's MDS (minimum data set) showed the facility had started an Admission MDS, wan ARD (assessment reference date) of 11/24/21, showed it was still in progress. The Admission MDS had not been completed. The facility failed to complete a comprehensive assessment of resident #73 at any during the resident's stay at the facility. This failure led to the facility's inability to develop, and implement effective, person-centered care plan for resident #73. 2. During an observation on 9/12/22 at 4:22 p.m., resident #78 was in his room watching television. Whe asked how the resident was doing he responded with Okay. The resident did not respond when further questions were asked. Review of resident #78's monthly weight record, from 5/10/22 to 6/23/22, showed the resident had lost 1 pounds in one month. This was a severe weight loss of 6.74 % in one month.		
	During an interview on 9/14/22 at 2:38 p.m., staff member G was not aware of resident #78's severe weight loss. Staff member G did not know if resident #78's physician had been notified of the severe weight loss. Staff member G said nursing staff were supposed to notify the physician of weight changes.		
	(continued on next page)		

Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety Residents Affected - Many Buring an interview on 9/14/22 at 2:38 p.m., staff member G said nutritional assessments were suppose be completed on a resident's admission, quarterly, annually, and with a significant change. Staff member G said the completed Section K of the MDS, and in the normal course of things he would write the nutrition care plan. Staff member G said the facility used to have a nutrition at risk team that met weekly to review resident weights to check for resident weight losses or weight gains. Staff member G said that team had met in a long time. Staff member G said for the nutrition at risk meetings he would urn a weight summar report, and it would show if residents triggered for weight loss or gain for the last 30, 60, and 90 days. Staff member G said he was not aware of the severe weight loss experienced by resident #73. Staff member G said he was not aware of the severe weight loss experienced by resident #73. Staff member G said he was not aware of the severe weight loss experienced by resident #73. Staff member G said she understood completion of Admissic Annual, and Significant Change MDS care area assessments was an important component to developin accurate, effective, person-centered care plans. Staff member B said she understood if this process was completed facility staff would not be able to identify and provide the care needed for the residents residin the facility. 45448 3. During an observation on 9/12/22 at 12:31 p.m., resident #44 was seated in the secured unit dining rea and up and down the halls of the secured unit. She was very vocal, repeating the same work over and over, and laughing. She returned to the table containing her meal tray multiple times through the dining observation. She did not take a bite of the corn dog, and did not eat any of the food from the tray, membe		1	I	1
Continental Care and Rehabilitation 2400 Continental Dr Butte, MT 59701 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. (X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Review of resident #78's Admission MDS, with an ARD of 5/14/22, showed it was still in progress. The Admission MDS had not been completed. The facility failed to complete a comprehensive assessment or resident #78 at any time during the resident's stay at the facility. This failure led to the facilitys inability to develop, and implement an effective, person-centered care plan for resident #78. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 2:38 p.m., staff member G said nutritional assessments were suppose be completed on a resident's admission, quarterly, annually, and with a significant change Staff member and the completed on the properties of section of the burtlion at risk meetings he would write the nutrition at resident weights to check for resident weight losses or weight gains. Staff member Staff member G said he was not aware of the international state of the section of the sec		IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED
Butte, MT 59701	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI	P CODE
F 0600 Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident #78's Admission MDS, with an ARD of 5/14/22, showed it was still in progress. The Admission MDS had not been completed. The facility failed to complete a comprehensive assessment createry or safety. Puring an interview on 9/14/22 at 2:38 p.m., staff member G said nutritional assessments were suppose the completed on a resident's admission, quarterly, annually, and with a significant change. Staff member said he completed on a resident's admission, quarterly, annually, and with a significant change. Staff member and it would show if resident stiggered for weight loss or gain free the last 30, 60, and 90 days. Simember G said he was not aware of the institute severe weight loss so grain from the last 30, 60, and 90 days. Simember G said he was not aware of the institute severe weight loss resident #73. Staff member G said he was not aware of the severe weight loss resident stiggered for weight so resident stiggered for weight loss resident stiggered for weight so residents stiggered for weight so service was an important component to develope accurate, effective, person-centered care plans. Staff member B said she understood completion of Admission accurate, effective, person-centered care plans. Staff member B said she understood of this process was completed facility staff would not be able to identify and provide the care needed for the residents residit the facility. 45448 3. During an observation on 9/12/22 at 12:31 p.m., resident #44 was seated in the secured unit dining rock at tray of food was placed in front of resident stight, two full sized corn dogs were in a bow, not sliced. Resident #44 placed to pure of the corn dogs and began hitting her left cheek with the corn dog. She the became very vocal, placed the corn dog on the meal tray, and got up. Resident #44 then wandered out dining area and up and down the halls of the secured unit. She was every vocal, repeating the same work over and over, and laughing. She returned to the table containing h	Continental Care and Rehabilitation			
F 0600 Review of resident #78's Admission MDS, with an ARD of 5/14/22, showed it was still in progress. The Admission MDS had not been completed. The facility fiailed to complete a comprehensive assessment or resident #78 at any time during the resident's stay at the facility. This fallure led to the facility's highlity to develop, and implement an effective, person-centered care plan for resident #78. It any time and the facility of the facility. This fallure led to the facility is nationally and with a significant change. Staff member said he completed on a resident's admission, quarterly, annually, and with a significant change. Staff member said he completed Section K of the MDS, and in the normal course of things he would write the nutrition care plan. Staff member G said the facility used to have a nutrition at risk team that met weekly to review resident weights to check for resident weight losses or weight gains. Staff member G said that team had met in a long time. Staff member G said for the nutrition at risk meetings and the team report, and it would show if residents triggered for weight loss regained the state of San and Significant Change MDS care area assessments was an important component to developin accurate, effective, person-centered care plans. Staff member B said she understoad completion of Admission and Significant Change MDS care area assessments was an important component to developin accurate, effective, person-centered care plans. Staff member B said she understoad completed facility staff would not be able to identify and provide the care needed for the residents residit he facility. 45448 3. During an observation on 9/12/22 at 12:31 p.m., resident #44 was seated in the secured unit dining rea and up and down the halls of the secured unit. She was very vocal, peacing the same work over and over, and laughing. She returned to the table containing her meal tray nutrilept times through the became very vocal, placed the corn dog on the meal tray, and got up. Resident #44 then wandere	For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety Residents Affected - Many Residents Affected - Many Residents Affected - Many During an interview on 9/14/22 at 2:38 p.m., staff member G said nutritional assessments were suppose be completed Section K of the MDS, and in the normal course of things he would write the nutrition care plan. Staff member G said the facility staff member G said nutrition at risk team that met weekly to review resident weights to scheck for resident weight to scheck for resident weight loss or weight gains. Staff member G said the staff member G said for the nutrition at risk meetings he would write the nutrition are plan. Staff member G said for the nutrition at risk meetings he would run a weight summar report, and it would show if residents register to weight loss or gain for the last 30, 60, and 90 days. St member G said he was not aware of the institious severe weight loss experienced by resident #73. Staff member G said he was not aware of the severe weight loss or gain for the last 30, 60, and 90 days. St member G said he was not aware of the severe weight loss or gain for the last 30, 60, and 90 days. St member G said he was not aware of the severe weight loss resident #74 and experienced. During an interview on 9/15/22 at 9:16 a.m., staff member B said she understood completion of Admissic Annual, and Significant Change MDS care area assessments was an important component to developin accurate, effective, person-centered care plans. Staff member B said she understood if this process was completed facility staff would not be able to identify and provide the care needed for the residents residit the facility. 45448 3. During an observation on 9/12/22 at 12:31 p.m., resident #44 was seated in the secured unit dining rea and up and down the halls of the secured unit. She was very vocal, preating the same work over and over, and laughing. She returned to the table containing her meat tray multiple times through it dining observation. She did not take a bite o			ion)	
During an interview on 9/13/22 at 12:59 p.m., staff member M said resident #44 would wander through t unit while she ate. Staff member M had tried to have resident #44 sit at a table in the dining room to eat, resident #44 would not stay seated to eat. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated resident #44 would wander through t secured unit while she ate. Staff member F said resident #44 was to have her foods cut and placed in a so she could carry her food while wandering in the unit. Food was to be cut up by dietary and sent up to unit for the resident. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 11:18 a.m., staff member I said the dietician had not make it up to the secured unit recently. Staff member I said she was not aware of any dietary supplements ordered for resident #44 and if she liked things, she would eat them.	Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety	Review of resident #78's Admission MDS, with an ARD of 5/14/22, showed it was still in progress. The Admission MDS had not been completed. The facility failed to complete a comprehensive assessment of resident #78 at any time during the resident's stay at the facility. This failure led to the facility's inability to develop, and implement an effective, person-centered care plan for resident #78. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 2:38 p.m., staff member G said nutritional assessments were supposed to be completed on a resident's admission, quarterly, annually, and with a significant change. Staff member G said he completed Section K of the MDS, and in the normal course of things he would write the nutritional care plan. Staff member G said the facility used to have a nutrition at risk team that met weekly to review resident weights to check for resident weight losses or weight gains. Staff member G said that team had not met in a long time. Staff member G said for the nutrition at risk meetings he would run a weight summary report, and it would show if residents triggered for weight loss or gain for the last 30, 60, and 90 days. Staff member G said he was not aware of the insidious severe weight loss experienced by resident #73. Staff member G said he was not aware of the severe weight loss resident #78 had experienced. During an inteview on 9/15/22 at 9:16 a.m., staff member B said she understood completion of Admission, Annual, and Significant Change MDS care area assessments was an important component to developing accurate, effective, person-centered care plans. Staff member B said she understood if this process was not completed facility staff would not be able to identify and provide the care needed for the residents residing in the facility. 45448 3. During an observation on 9/12/22 at 12:31 p.m., resident #44 was seated in the secured unit dining room. A tray of food was placed in front of resident #44, two full sized corn dogs were in a bowl, not sliced. Resident #44 picked up one of the corn dogs a		
secured unit while she ate. Staff member F said resident #44 was to have her foods cut and placed in a so she could carry her food while wandering in the unit. Food was to be cut up by dietary and sent up to unit for the resident. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 11:18 a.m., staff member I said the dietician had not make it up to the secured unit recently. Staff member I said she was not aware of any dietary supplements ordered for resident #44 and if she liked things, she would eat them.		meal. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 12:59 p.m., staff member M said resident #44 would wander through the unit while she ate. Staff member M had tried to have resident #44 sit at a table in the dining room to eat, are resident #44 would not stay seated to eat. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated resident #44 would wander through the secured unit while she ate. Staff member F said resident #44 was to have her foods cut and placed in a boso she could carry her food while wandering in the unit. Food was to be cut up by dietary and sent up to the		
secured unit recently. Staff member I said she was not aware of any dietary supplements ordered for resident #44 and if she liked things, she would eat them.				
(continued on next page)		secured unit recently. Staff member I said she was not aware of any dietary supplements ordered for		
		(continued on next page)		

			NO. 0936-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 275103	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 09/16/2022
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Continental Care and Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 2400 Continental Dr Butte. MT 59701	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		ion)
F 0600 Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety Residents Affected - Many	Butte, MT 59701 s plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES		ent #44's weight loss was identified at #44 was not on any supplements A. Staff member G said nutritional word document that was submitted the in the MDS. Staff member G arme a list and I would do section K. from the EMR, and there would be a the physician and put in any sed 117.8 pounds on 6/21/22 and and in a 8.15% weight loss. In notes on 9/12/22 and 9/14/22. No 2, showed resident #79 was seen sident sent back from the hospital. In the back from hospital. Will continue as rectal bleeding. No notes were and multiple days of low blood set reading of 85/50 on 9/5/22. No obtification, or additional and bladder were not addressed. In do follow-up monitoring for the monitored for the rectal dering up and down the hall in the set ankle. The resident was the to help her. Staff member L was L donned gloves and checked ing of pain. Staff member L asked if
	(continued on next page)		

		1	
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 275103	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 09/16/2022
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Continental Care and Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 2400 Continental Dr	P CODE
Commontal Care and Norlabilitation		Butte, MT 59701	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		on)	
F 0600 Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety	During an interview on 9/14/22 at 12:33 p.m., staff member J stated resident #48's leg wound was present on admission. The order was to rinse the wound and change the dressing every three days, or as needed, with xeroform and kerlix. Staff member J said the wound had gotten better, but was now angry again, and the doctor had put resident #48 on Keflex. Staff member J said the wound had not been cultured to her knowledge. Resident #48 was admitted on [DATE].		
Residents Affected - Many	During an observation and interview on 9/14/22 at 1:38 p.m., staff member J changed the dressing on resident #48's right lower calf. The soiled dressing was removed, and the wound was rinsed with saline. The wound was estimated to be approximately 1.5 inches in diameter with an inflamed and reddened area around the wound. Resident #48 was complaining of pain but declined pain medication. Staff member J stated the resident should be sent to the wound clinic and did not know why it had not been done. Staff member J said no wound education on wound evaluation and treatment had been provided by the facility. Staff member J said she had not always been good about documenting because she got busy with other residents.		
	During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m., staff member B said the secured unit was opened in April 2022. The facility had identified an issue with the assessment and implementation process. The facility had been working to implement the new process, but had difficulties due to staffing.		
	Record review of resident #48's Admission MDS, with an ARD of 8/8/22, showed, In Progress. The Admission MDS had not been completed. The Medicare five-day assessment dated [DATE], showed, In Progress, and the end of part A stay dated 9/1/22 showed, In Progress.		
	Record review of resident #48's care plan, showed focus area of chronic venous status ulcer to right calf was added on 9/12/22, after a request for documentation was made by the State Survey Agency.		
	Review of a facility document titled	, Wound Care, dated October 2010, sh	owed:
	. Documentation		
	The following information should be	e recorded in the resident's medical rec	cord:
	The type of wound care given.		
	2. The date and time the wound ca	re was given.	
	3. The position in which the resider	nt was placed.	
	4. The name and title of the individual performing the wound care.		
	5. Any change in the resident's condition.		
	6. All assessment data (i.e., wound bed color, size, drainage, etc.) obtained when inspecting the wound.		
	7. How the resident tolerated the procedure.		
	8. Any problems or complaints mad	de by the resident related to the proced	ure.
	(continued on next page)		

			No. 0936-0391
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 275103	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 09/16/2022
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Continental Care and Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 2400 Continental Dr Butte, MT 59701	
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	l tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	D PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		ion)
F 0600 Level of Harm - Immediate jeopardy to resident health or safety Residents Affected - Many	9. If the resident refused the treatm 10. The signature and title of the period of the	nent and the reason(s) why. erson recording the data. Ind in the facility's EMR or was provided 1:21 p.m., staff member F said no one I said the facility did not have an MDS of e and revise resident care plans. 1:246 a.m., staff member B said the complete in February (2022), but staffing he to keep an MDS coordinator on staff. Soor residents. Staff member B said part completion of the CAAs (care area asset	I by the facility for resident #48. nad been onsite to do MDSs since oordinator. Staff member F said the pletion of MDS assessments and ad been an issue. Staff member B taff member B said the MDS should of an admission, annual, or

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A. Building B. Wing STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE COMPLETED O9/16/2022 NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Continental Care and Rehabilitation For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. For 10 Detection on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. FOR 10 DEFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Each deficiency must be preceded by full requisitory or LSC identifying information) Respond appropriately to all alleged violations. 45448 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to initiate interventions for monitoring of residents Affocted - Few residents Affocted - Few Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member H witnessed resident. #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member 1. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member D witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member 1. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member 1. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, at 13:30 a.m., staff member 0 witnessed resident #43 was not allowed to touch other residents. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 a.m., showed. Resident residents for a staff member with a resident facility placed resident #43 and the staff was resident #43. and the was unaward of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43. and the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident #44 and MA7. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on				
Continental Care and Rehabilitation 24.00 Continental Dr Butte, MT 59701 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. X(A) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Scath deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Respond appropriately to all alleged violations. 45448 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to initiate interventions for monitoring of residents with winessed inappropriate buching for 2 (#s 44 and 47) of 2 sampled residents, Findings include: Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member H witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #43* groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review whoved, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #43* groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member O informed resident #43 he was not allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, slepped back, and sided, idin't know I wasn't allowed to do that. Review of resident #43* surving note by staff member O, dated 8/17/22 at 11:34 a.m., showed, Resident redirected for touching female residents breast. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 125 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2.07 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring so the incident first member F said she would go talk to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 2.4 7.5 m., staff member F said at two works on another resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring so see sta		IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. Building	COMPLETED
Continental Care and Rehabilitation 2400 Continental Dr Butte, MT 59701 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency. SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Seath deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Respond appropriately to all alleged violations. 45448 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to initiate interventions for monitoring of residents Affected - Few seathers are recorded to the facility failed to initiate interventions for monitoring of residents Affected - Few seathers with witnessed inappropriate touching for 2 (#s 44 and 47) of 2 sampled residents. Findings include: Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member H witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #43's great mare rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H witnessed resident #43 he was not allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, slepped back, and stated, it didn't know! wasn't allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, slepped back, and stated, it didn't know! wasn't allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, slepped back, and stated, it didn't know! wasn't allowed to touch in the resident states. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1.25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8.14 a.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring an interview on 9/14/22 at 8.14 a.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring to incident. #43 in member F said she would go talk to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8.14 a.m., staff member F said to the heavier of resident	NAME OF DROVIDED OR SURDIVES		CTDEFT ADDRESS OFFI CT	
Butte, MT 59701 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency: (XA) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Respond appropriately to all alleged violations. 45448 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to initiate interventions for monitoring of residents with witnessed inappropriate browling for 2 (#5 44 and 47) of 2 sampled residents, Findings include: Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member H witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O winnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O winnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O winnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O winnessed resident #43 at 11:40 a.m., staff were the was a staff with the was not allowed to bouch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, ididn't know! wasn't allowed to do that. Review of resident #43's nursing note by staff member C bistored resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43 in interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, a				PCODE
SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Respond appropriately to all alleged violations. 45448 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to initiate interventions for monitoring of residents with witnessed inappropriate touching for 2 (#s 44 and 47) of 2 sampled residents. Findings includes: Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member H witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O witnessed resident #43 his was not allowed to found where residents. Resident #44 was redirected by staff member O informed resident #43 he was not allowed to found where residents. Resident #45 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, I didn't know I wasn't allowed to do hat. Review of resident #43's nursing note by staff member O, dated 8/17/22 at 11:34 a.m., showed, Resident redirected for touching female resident's breast. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43. and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for residents #44 and #47. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other resident #44 in the new 15 member F said the resident resident #44 in the new 15 member F	Continental Care and Renabilitation			
(Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information) Respond appropriately to all alleged violations. 45448 Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to initiate interventions for monitoring of residents with witnessed inappropriate buching for 2 (#5.44 and 47) of 2 sampled residents. Findings include: Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member H witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, I didn't know! I wasn't allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, I didn't know! I wasn't allowed to do that. Review of resident #43's nursing note by staff member O, dated 8/17/22 at 11:34 a.m., showed, Resident redirected for touching female resident's breast. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident but was involved in the incident for resident but not on resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:207 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident there in monitoring, an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:207 p.m., staff member F stated in was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said the would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned from resident #43 what the member B said was resident frequiring 15-minute checks. I have talked to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F said on	For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			agency.
Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few ### Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to initiate interventions for monitoring of residents with witnessed inappropriate touching for 2 (#s 44 and 47) of 2 sampled residents. Findings include: Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member H witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47s groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O witnessed resident #43 ne was not allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, I didn't know I wasn't allowed to do that. Review of resident #43 nursing note by staff member O, dated 8/17/22 at 11:34 a.m., showed, Resident redirected for touching female resident's breast. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for residents #44 and #47. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident but not on resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents was the resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F stated no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 and the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m. staff member B said the behavio	(X4) ID PREFIX TAG			on)
Based on observation, interview, and record review, the facility failed to initiate interventions for monitoring of residents with witnessed inappropriate touching for 2 (#s 44 and 47) of 2 sampled residents. Findings include: Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member H witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O witnessed resident #43 attempting to rub body against female resident (#44) white touching her butbocks. Staff member O informed resident #43 he was not allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, I didn't know I wasn't allowed to do that. Review of resident #43's nursing note by staff member O, dated 8/17/22 at 11:34 a.m., showed, Resident redirected for touching female resident's breast. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for resident but not on resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F staded no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:88 p.m., staff member F said no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring is one staff to one resident. Staff member B said reside	F 0610	Respond appropriately to all allege	d violations.	
residents with witnessed inappropriate touching for 2 (#S 44 and 47) of 2 sampled residents. Findings include: Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member H witnessed resident #43 with his hand in resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O witnessed resident #43 attempting to rub body against female resident (#44) while touching her buttocks. Staff member O informed resident #43 he was not allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, I didn't know I wasn't allowed to do that. Review of resident #43's nursing note by staff member O, dated 8/17/22 at 11:34 a.m., showed, Resident redirected for touching female resident's breast. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for residents #44 and #47. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident but not on resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F stated no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 since the incident. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident grain the resident provided in the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m., staff member B said the behavior for resident greated space and the facility felt it was not an issue. Staff member B s		45448		
resident #47's groin area rubbing her. Resident #43 was redirected by staff member H. Record review showed, on 8/17/22 at 11:30 a.m., staff member O witnessed resident #43 attempting to rub body against female resident (#44) while touching her buttocks. Staff member O informed resident #43 he was not allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, I didn't know I wasn't allowed to do that. Review of resident #43's nursing note by staff member O, dated 8/17/22 at 11:34 a.m., showed, Resident redirected for touching female resident's breast. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 11:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for residents #44 and #47. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident but not on resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F stated no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 since the incident. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident requiring 15-minute checks. I have talked to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m., staff member B said the behavior for resident #43 was not typical. Staff member B said, We believe it is blown out of proportion. We had a reenactment done and it was determined not to be abuse. Staff member B said resident #44 will often get into other resident's personal space and the facility felit iwas not an issu	Residents Affected - Few	residents with witnessed inappropri		
body against female resident (#44) while touching her buttocks. Staff member O informed resident #43 he was not allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, I didn't know I wasn't allowed to do that. Review of resident #43's nursing note by staff member O, dated 8/17/22 at 11:34 a.m., showed, Resident redirected for touching female resident's breast. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for residents #44 and #47. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident but not on resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F stated no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 since the incident. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident requiring 15-minute checks. I have talked to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m., staff member B said the behavior for resident #43 was not typical. Staff member B said, we believe it is blown out of proportion. We had a reenactment done and it was determined not to be abuse. Staff member B said resident #44 will often get into other resident's personal space and the facility felt it was not an issue. Staff member B said, 1:1 monitoring is one staff to one resident; she does not know why that was the plan in place because the facility decided to do 15-minute checks on resident #43. Rec				
redirected for touching female resident's breast. He was easily redirected. Review of a facility reported incident, dated 8/17/22, showed the facility placed resident #43 on 1 - 1 supervision. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for residents #44 and #47. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident but not on resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F stated no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 since the incident. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident requiring 15-minute checks. I have talked to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m., staff member B said the behavior for resident #43 was not typical. Staff member B said, We believe it is blown out of proportion. We had a reenactment done and it was determined not to be abuse. Staff member B said resident #44 will often get into other resident's personal space and the facility felt it was not an issue. Staff member B said, 1:1 monitoring is one staff to one resident; she does not know why that was the plan in place because the facility decided to do 15-minute checks on resident #43. Record review of resident #43's care plan, revision date 8/25/22, showed: Focus Focus		body against female resident (#44) while touching her buttocks. Staff member O informed resident #43 he was not allowed to touch other residents. Resident #43 pulled his hands away, stepped back, and stated, I		
During an interview on 9/13/22 at 1:25 p.m., staff member L stated she was unaware of any inappropriate behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for residents #44 and #47. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident but not on resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F stated no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 since the incident. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident requiring 15-minute checks. I have talked to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m., staff member B said the behavior for resident #43 was not typical. Staff member B said, We believe it is blown out of proportion. We had a reenactment done and it was determined not to be abuse. Staff member B said the staff, 1:1 monitoring is one staff to one resident; she does not know why that was the plan in place because the facility decided to do 15-minute checks on resident #43. Record review of resident #43's care plan, revision date 8/25/22, showed: Focus Resident is at risk for abuse d/t history of altercations and incidence of touching peers.				
behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for residents #44 and #47. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident but not on resident #43. During an interview on 9/13/22 at 2:07 p.m., staff member F stated it was resident #43 that had been involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F stated no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 since the incident. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident requiring 15-minute checks. I have talked to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m., staff member B said the behavior for resident #43 was not typical. Staff member B said, We believe it is blown out of proportion. We had a reenactment done and it was determined not to be abuse. Staff member B said resident #44 will often get into other resident's personal space and the facility felt it was not an issue. Staff member B said, 1:1 monitoring is one staff to one resident, she does not know why that was the plan in place because the facility decided to do 15-minute checks on resident #43. Record review of resident #43's care plan, revision date 8/25/22, showed: Focus Resident is at risk for abuse d/t history of altercations and incidence of touching peers.				
involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 to have 1:1 monitoring. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 8:14 a.m., staff member F stated no monitoring had occurred for resident #43 since the incident. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident requiring 15-minute checks. I have talked to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m., staff member B said the behavior for resident #43 was not typical. Staff member B said, We believe it is blown out of proportion. We had a reenactment done and it was determined not to be abuse. Staff member B said resident #44 will often get into other resident's personal space and the facility felt it was not an issue. Staff member B said, 1:1 monitoring is one staff to one resident; she does not know why that was the plan in place because the facility decided to do 15-minute checks on resident #43. Record review of resident #43's care plan, revision date 8/25/22, showed: Focus Resident is at risk for abuse d/t history of altercations and incidence of touching peers.		behavior for resident #43, and it was another resident that was involved in the incident for residents #44 and #47. Staff member L said the secured unit was documenting 15-minute checks on another resident but not		
#43 since the incident. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident requiring 15-minute checks. I have talked to the staff, and they will start today. During an interview on 9/14/22 at 1:58 p.m., staff member B said the behavior for resident #43 was not typical. Staff member B said, We believe it is blown out of proportion. We had a reenactment done and it was determined not to be abuse. Staff member B said resident #44 will often get into other resident's personal space and the facility felt it was not an issue. Staff member B said, 1:1 monitoring is one staff to one resident; she does not know why that was the plan in place because the facility decided to do 15-minute checks on resident #43. Record review of resident #43's care plan, revision date 8/25/22, showed: . Focus Resident is at risk for abuse d/t history of altercations and incidence of touching peers.		involved in the inappropriate touching, and she was unaware of any other residents being monitored. Staff member F said she would go talk to the staff, and it had been care planned for resident #43 to have 1:1		
typical. Staff member B said, We believe it is blown out of proportion. We had a reenactment done and it was determined not to be abuse. Staff member B said resident #44 will often get into other resident's personal space and the facility felt it was not an issue. Staff member B said, 1:1 monitoring is one staff to one resident; she does not know why that was the plan in place because the facility decided to do 15-minute checks on resident #43. Record review of resident #43's care plan, revision date 8/25/22, showed: . Focus Resident is at risk for abuse d/t history of altercations and incidence of touching peers.		#43 since the incident. Staff member F said, There was some confusion as to the resident requiring		
. Focus Resident is at risk for abuse d/t history of altercations and incidence of touching peers .		typical. Staff member B said, We be determined not to be abuse. Staff r space and the facility felt it was not resident; she does not know why the	elieve it is blown out of proportion. We nember B said resident #44 will often g an issue. Staff member B said, 1:1 mo	had a reenactment done and it was let into other resident's personal onitoring is one staff to one
Resident is at risk for abuse d/t history of altercations and incidence of touching peers .		Record review of resident #43's car	re plan, revision date 8/25/22, showed:	
		. Focus		
(continued on next page)		Resident is at risk for abuse d/t history of altercations and incidence of touching peers .		
		(continued on next page)		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(XI) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 275103	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 09/16/2022
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER Continental Care and Rehabilitation		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZI 2400 Continental Dr Butte, MT 59701	P CODE
For information on the nursing home's	plan to correct this deficiency, please con	tact the nursing home or the state survey	agency.
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICE (Each deficiency must be preceded by formula in the company of		CIENCIES full regulatory or LSC identifying informati	on)
F 0610 Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm Residents Affected - Few	Interventions . . Monitor (resident #43's) whereabouts on the memory care unit- redirect as needed away from peers as needed to maintain safety Date Initiated 8/25/22 . Record review of a facility document titled, Abuse Prevention Program, dated December 2016, showed: . Sexual Abuse is non-consensual contact of any type with a resident.		