change, was to be reported to the family inclinately affects the family leading the resident #1's family had been notified of a change in condition. LPN #1 stated he was unsure.

On 11-17-15 at 10:40 a.m., the facility administrator was asked if resident #1's family had been made aware of changes in the resident's condition. She stated yes. She stated the family member had requested a copy of the physician's orders [REDACTED].#1's plan of care and changes in condition and therefore the family had been made aware of changes to resident #1's condition

On 11-17-15 at 10:50 a.m., LPN #2 was asked if resident #1's family had been notified of a change in condition. LPN #2 stated she was unsure but she had provided the family member a copy of the physician's orders [REDACTED]. LPN #2 stated the family seemed knowledgeable regarding medications prescribed for resident #1.

On 11-24-15 at 2:20 p.m., RN #1 was asked if resident #1's family members had been notified of a change in condition. RN #1 stated she had notified the family of resident #1's change in condition and transfer to the hospital on 10-18-15. RN #1 stated she was unsure if family members had been advised of other changes in resident #1's condition.

On 12-16-15 at 10:08 a.m., LPN #6 was asked about resident #1's wounds. LPN #6 stated when resident #1 was admitted to the

On 12-10-13 at 10.08 a.in., LPN #6 was asked about resident #1 s wounds. LPN #6 stated when I resident #1 was admitted to the facility she had a red bottom. LPN #6 stated approximately two days later the resident's bottom looked like it was opeing up and the skin was sloughing off. LPN #6 was asked if she had contacted the resident's primary care physician (PCP) regarding the change in the resident's condition as the resident now had an open area on her bottom. She stated she had not notified the physician. LPN #6 stated she had been told by the director of nursing (DON) at that time to contact the wound care specialist. LPN #6 was asked when had she contacted the wound care specialist. She stated approximately one week later.

F 0278

Make sure each resident receives an accurate assessment by a qualified health professional.

Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual *NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY**

Based on observation, interview, and record review, it was determined the facility failed to ensure a resident assessment accurately reflected a resident's status for one (#1) of six sampled residents whose assessments were reviewed. The

Residents Affected - Few

administrator identified 122 residents who resided in the facility. Findings:
Resident #1 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] and had [DIAGNOSES REDACTED].
A skin observation tool, dated 09-30-15, documented resident #1 had two open areas located on her right and left buttocks.
The skin observation tool documented the right buttock had 1.0 centimeter (cm) length by 0.6 cm width by 0.1 cm depth open area. The skin observation tool documented the left buttock had a 1.8 cm length by 1.0 cm width by 0.1 depth open area.
An admission assessment, dated 10-05-15, documented resident #1 required extensive assistance with most activities of daily

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

TITLE

(X6) DATE

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

FORM CMS-2567(02-99) Previous Versions Obsolete

Event ID: YL1O11

Facility ID: 375499

If continuation sheet Page 1 of 4

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0938-0391				
STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER / CLIA IDENNTIFICATION NUMBER 375499	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. BUILDING B. WING	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED 12/16/2015	
NAME OF PROVIDER OF SU	PPLIER	STREET ADDRESS	, CITY, STATE, ZIP	
WOOD MANOR NURSING	CENTER	2800 NORTH HICH CLAREMORE, OK		
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.				
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF I OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFOR	DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PREMATION)	CEDED BY FULL REGULATORY	
F 0278 Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	cognitive status, pain, or the corre placed in the cognitive status, pai	2 pressure ulcer. The admission assessment did not con ect number of pressure ulcers. The admission assessmer n, and pressure ulcer sections of the assessment. lated 10-18-15, documented resident #1 had been transf	nt documented dashes had been	
Residents Affected - Few	On 12-16-15 at 4:30 p.m., the MD values what did that mean. The M value had not been performed du were complete and accurate. She	OS/LPN coordinator was asked if a resident's assessment MDS/LPN coordinator stated dashes meant the required ring the look back period. The MDS/LPN coordinator wastated no. She stated if the skin observation tool documnission assessment should have documented two pressures.	interview needed to get a numerical vas asked if resident #1's assessments ented resident #6 had two open	
F 0279		at meets all of a resident's needs, with timetables and	d	
Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	Based on interview and record rev completed for 1 (#1) of 1 samples in the facility. Findings:	TS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDEN view, it was determined the facility failed to ensure a codd residents whose records were reviewed. The administration of the confidence of the confiden	mprehensive care plan had been rator identified 122 residents resided	
Residents Affected - Some	An admission assessment, dated 1 resident #1 required extensive as A care area assessment (CAA) su incontinence, falls, nutritional stathe care plan decision making on Resident #1's clinical record did n On 11-24-17 at 2:00 p.m., the mir comprehensive care plan. She sta	acility on [DATE] and had [DIAGNOSES REDACTED 0-05-15, did not document the resident's cognitive status sistance with most activities of daily living, and had one mmary, dated 10-05-15, documented the following area tus, and pressure ulcer. The CAA had been signed by the 10-26-15. ot contain a comprehensive care plan. immum data set/licensed practical nurse (MDS/LPN) cooted no. She stated she had only been the MDS coordina dan should be developed. She stated 7 days after the administration of the stated 7 days after the administration of the stated 3 days after th	s. The assessment documented stage 2 pressure ulcer. s had triggered: communication, urinary ne registered nurse as completing ordinator was asked if resident #1 had a tor since 10-27-15. She was asked when	
F 0314	Give residents proper treatment	t to prevent new bed (pressure) sores or heal existing	ş bed	
Level of harm - Actual	sores. **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKET	TS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDEN	TIALITY**	
harm	Based on observation, interview and record review, it was determined the facility failed to ensure residents did not develop			
Residents Affected - Some	pressure ulcers and received care - ensure thorough and accurate as - consistently provide wound care - develop care plans for the preve physician; - notify the dietician of severe we recommendations by a wound car - obtain a [MEDICATION NAM with pressure ulcers.] The resident developed an unstage died ten days later due [MEDICATION]	and interventions to prevent and treat pressure ulcers by sessments were performed of pressure ulcers; e treatments as ordered by the physician; intion and treatment of [REDACTED].>— monitor residently by the physician; eight loss for a resident with pressure ulcers; eight loss, the development of new areas of skin breakd re physician for needed laboratory testing; and [E] lab test as recommended by the wound physician for eable necrotic pressure ulcer with a wound infection, was	ent's weights as ordered by the lown in a timely manner, or of one (resident #1) of six sampled residents	
		acility on [DATE] and had [DIAGNOSES REDACTED		
	obtain a weekly weight for 4 wee A facility Weights and Vitals Sun A Nursing Admission Screening/I to the bilateral lower extremities identified to the buttocks, sacrum A skin observation tool, dated [D, documented the wound on the rig buttock measured 1.8 cm long x (A physician's telephone order, dat dressing twice a day for shearing The order summary sheet and the The [DATE] TAR was blank for t An [DATE] TAR documented, Ol this was due on [DATE]. The are A facility WEEKLY PRESSURE acquired stage II sacral wound with A Treatment Administration Recc [DATE], did not contain document A TAR for [DATE] documented to obtained was blank. A Braden Scale for Predicting Pre pressure sore. An admission assessment, dated [documented the resident required one stage II pressure ulcer. A review of the clinical record do pressure ulcers or to address her contains the contains t	mary sheet, dated [DATE], documented the resident we distory, documented the resident had two surgical incisi and one Stage I pressure ulcer to the right inner ankle. Nor coccyx areas. ATE], documented the resident had shearing on her left the buttock measured 1.0 centimeters (cm) long x 0.6 cn 0.6 cm wide x 0.1 deep. ed [DATE] at 9:50 a.m., documented apply Hydrogel a treatment administration record (TAR) documented the he Hydrogel treatment to be provided on the evening shearin weight every 72 hours for 2 administrations -Orde a to document that the weight was obtained on [DATE] SORE QI (quality indicator) LOG form, dated [DATE] and (TAR), dated thation that wound care treatment had been completed on he resident's weekly weight was to be obtained on [DATE] and (TAR), did not contain documentation of the resident's extensive assistance with most activities of daily living cumented no care plan had been developed that identifications because the stage of the developed that identifications are plan had been developed that identifications are staged to the resident's weaklown and treatments.	eighed 142.7 pounds. ions to the right hip, eight blisters No areas of skin breakdown were and right buttocks. The tool n wide x 0.1 cm deep and the left and cover both buttock areas with dry Hydrogel start date was to be on [DATE]. ift on [DATE]. To Tate- [DATE] 1800 The TAR documented was blank. I, documented the resident had a facility In the day shift on [DATE]. TE]. The area to document the weight was not was at risk for developing a cognitive status. The assessment including bed mobility and had ed the resident to be at risk for	
		DATE], documented the resident had a raw area on her vide x 0.1 cm deep. There was no documentation of a fu		

characteristics.
There was no documentation to show the physician had been notified of the skin breakdown to the resident's sacrum.
A facility Weekly Pressure Ulcer Sore QI Log, dated [DATE], documented the sacral wound measured 3.2 cm long x 2.5 cm wide x 0.1 cm deep. The log documented the wound had drainage but no odor. There was no documentation of a full assessment of the resident's wound characteristics.
A TAR, dated [DATE] documented no wound care treatment had been completed on [DATE] on the evening shift.
A TAR, dated [DATE] documented no wound care treatment had been completed on [DATE] on the day shift.
A TAR for [DATE] documented the resident's weekly weight was to be obtained on [DATE]. The area to document that the weight was obtained was blank.
A Skin Observation Tool, dated [DATE] at 7:25 p.m., documented the resident had an unstageable pressure ulcer to the coccyx that measured 6cm long x 5.8 cm wide with a depth of 1.5cm. There was no documentation of a full assessment of the resident's wound characteristics.

FORM CMS-2567(02-99) Event ID: YL1O11 Facility ID: 375499 If continuation sheet Previous Versions Obsolete Page 2 of 4

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PRINTED:7/19/2016 FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0938-0391 CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES (X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION CLIA IDENNTIFICATION À. BUILDING B. WING ____ 12/16/2015 NUMBER 375499 NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP WOOD MANOR NURSING CENTER 2800 NORTH HICKORY STREET CLAREMORE, OK 74017 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY (X4) ID PREFIX TAG OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION (continued... from page 2)
A physician's orders [REDACTED]. Apply to coccyx topically every day shift for wound cleanse wound to coccyx with wound cleanser, apply santyl and cover with dry dressing.
A physician's orders [REDACTED]. F 0314 Level of harm - Actual A facility Weights and Vitals Summary, dated [DATE], documented the resident weighed 131 pounds. The summary documented the resident had an 11.7 pound weight loss since admission. This was a severe weight loss of 8.1% in 15 days. The weights and vitals summary report documented only two weights had been obtained for the resident, the weight of 142.7 on [DATE] and this weight of 131 on [DATE]. Residents Affected - Some A facility Skin Observation Tool, dated [DATE] at 3:06 p.m., documented the resident had an unstageable pressure ulcer to the coccyx measuring 8.0 cm long x 5.0 cm wide, with a depth that was not measurable. There was no documentation of a full assessment of the resident's wound characteristics. assessment of the resident's wound characteristics.

A wound care specialist initial evaluation, dated [DATE], documented the resident had an unstageable (due to necrosis) pressure wound of the medial sacrum. The wound size was 8 x 5 x not measurable cm. There was moderate serous exudate and 100% thick adherent devitalized necrotic tissue. Recommendations included, Gel cushion to chair, group-2 mattress. The evaluation also documented the resident's appetite was poor, a [MEDICATION NAME] was recommended and the wound was foul smelling.
A physician's orders [REDACTED] Give 1 tablet by mouth two time a day for Wounds for 28 Administrations A nurse's note, dated [DATE] at 8:39 a.m., documented, Gel cushion has been ordered. Group 2 mattress is now on the A facility Weekly Pressure Sore QI Log, dated [DATE], documented the resident had a facility acquired pressure ulcer to the coccyx that measured 8.0 cm long x 5.0 cm wide. The log documented the depth was not measurable, the wound had an odor and drainage, and was treated with Santyl.

A nurse's note, dated [DATE] at 7:45 a.m., documented, Heard resident hollering for help. Upon entering room found resident in a full body shiver and covered completely up. Resident denies being cold. Upon further assessment found resident to have purple hands bilaterally. V.S. (vital signs) T (temperature) - 99.3 temporal P (pulse) - 55 R (respirations) - 24 BP (blood pressure) - ,[DATE] O2 (oxygen) Sat (saturation) room air - 78%. Notified Dr. (name deleted). pressure) - "[DATE] O2 (oxygen) Sat (saturation) from air - 78%. Notified Dr. (haime detected).

A progress note, dated [DATE] at 8:46 a.m., documented the resident was sent to the hospital.

An Emergency Department record from the hospital documented the visit date was [DATE] at 10:02 a.m.

A nursing progress note from the emergency department, performed on [DATE] at 10:30 a.m., documented, incontinent care provided - pt arrives from Nursing home with diaper with loose stool present - stool also present into lower section of deep open wound.

An ED (Emergency Department) Physician Note, dated [DATE] at 10:45 a.m., documented: An ED (Emergency Department) Physician Note, dated [DATE] at 10:45 a.m., documented:

.Wound infection - complicated.
dose weight 52.2Kg (kilograms) (114.8 pounds).

Large sacral ulcer: ,[DATE]cm x ,[DATE]cm area of deep ulceration with purulent drainage, very malodorous.

A Discharge Summary from the hospital, dated [DATE], documented: .date of death : [DATE] at 04:06 (4:06 a.m.) Reason For Admission: Sepsis due to severe unstageable sacral decubitus wound. Cause of Death: 1. [MEDICAL CONDITION] secondary to [MEDICAL CONDITION] [MEDICAL CONDITION], severe, secondary to unstageable sacral decubitus wound.
 Sacral decubitus wound due to pressure ulceration; patient appeared to have been left in her own feces and urine for extended periods of time causing severe breakdown, not present at time of previous and recent discharge from the hospital. Hospital Course: ear-old female who had recently been admitted for [MEDICAL CONDITION]. She was readmitted from the nursing facility that she had been discharged to. Upon arrival in the emergency room she was noted to have vital signs consistent with SIRS (Systemic [MEDICAL CONDITION] Response Syndrome) criteria and a source of infection from either significant diarrhea or the severe decubitus wound. The patient had a very large amount of necrotic tissue present in this wound that was extremely deep, likely to the level of the bone, but this could not be seen due to the large amount of stool that was in the wound at the time of the patient's presentation. Upon my visit in the emergency room, I discussed with the patient who is awake and alert and well oriented at the time that at her age and with her current nutritional status and overall health, I found it very unlikely that she would ever recover from such a wound and that it would likely require multiple surgeries, [DEVICE] and aggressive wound therapy to even make an attempt at this wound being healed. I also discussed aggressive management and treatment of [REDACTED]. Unfortunately the patient did not die in a quick manner but she was kept comfortable for the length of her stay. on [DATE], the resident's physician stated he was unsure if the resident was admitted with the wound to her coccyx. He stated he monitored the surgical wound and it was healing well. He stated that he was notified of the unstageable wound to stated he monitored the surgical wound and it was healing well. He stated that he was notified of the unstageable wound to the coccyx and immediately referred this to the wound physician.

On [DATE] at 10:08 a.m., licensed practical nurse (LPN) #6 was contacted regarding the wound care she had provided for the resident. The LPN stated when the resident was admitted to the facility she had a red bottom. The LPN stated approximately two days later the resident's bottom looked like it was opening up and the skin was sloughing off. The LPN was asked if she had contacted the resident's primary care physician regarding the change in the resident's condition as the resident now had an open area on her bottom. She stated she had not notified the physician. The LPN stated she had been told by the director of nursing at that time to contact the wound care specialist. The LPN was asked when had she contacted the wound care specialists. She stated approximately one week later.

On [DATE] at 11:15 a.m., the assistant director of nursing (ADON) was asked if a [MEDICATION NAME] lab test had been performed for the resident. The ADON stated she did not know but she would go and check.

On [DATE] at 12:15 p.m., the certified dietary manager (CDM) was asked what happened when a resident experienced weight loss. She stated she was notified by nursing staff and she would immediately notify the dietician either by phone or fax. The CDM stated the dietician had remote access from home and was able to view the resident charts. The CDM stated the dietician would either write new orders or fax new orders to the facility as warranted. She stated she would then inform the nursing staff of order changes. The CDM was asked what interventions would be put in place for a resident who experienced weight loss until the dietician evaluated the resident. She stated she would wait for the dietician's recommendation.

recommendation. The CDM was asked if she had notified the dietician of the resident's weight loss. She stated she could not remember, but

thought she had. She stated she would look for documentation related to notifying the dietician. The CDM was unable to produce any documentation that the dietician had been notified of the resident's weight loss.

On [DATE] at 4:50 p.m., the dietician was asked if she had seen resident #1. She stated she had not visited the resident, however she had reviewed the resident's record on [DATE] when she made rounds. She stated she wrote progress notes on

nowever see had reviewed the resident's record on IDATE, when she made rounds, she stated she wrote progress notes on IDATE, but the resident had already been admitted to the hospital. The dietician was asked if she had been notified by the CDM by phone or fax regarding the resident's weight loss. She stated she had not been notified of the resident's weight loss. She stated the CDM had not been performing clinical duties until [DATE].

On [DATE] at 4:00 p.m., the ADON reported to the survey team that she could not find any documentation to show the resident's [MEDICATION NAME] lab test had been done. The ADON was asked if she knew why the [MEDICATION NAME] had

done. The ADON was asked if a physician other than the resident's primary care physician (PCP) made a recommendation that a lab test be done would the staff carry out the order. She stated if another physician made a recommendation for a lab test the nursing staff would need to contact the PCP to ensure the PCP wanted to carry through with the recommendation. The ADON was asked if the resident's PCP had been notified of the wound specialist's recommendation that a [MEDICATION NAME] lab test be done. She stated she did not know.

F 0502	Give or get quality lab services/tests in a timely manner to meet the needs of residents.
Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	
Residents Affected - Some	

FORM CMS-2567(02-99) Previous Versions Obsolete

Event ID: YL1O11

Facility ID: 375499

If continuation sheet Page 3 of 4

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PRINTED:7/19/2016 FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0938-0391 CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES (X3) DATE SURVEY STATEMENT OF (X1) PROVIDER / SUPPLIER (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION / CLIA IDENNTIFICATION NUMBER À. BUILDING B. WING ____ 12/16/2015 375499 NAME OF PROVIDER OF SUPPLIER STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP WOOD MANOR NURSING CENTER 2800 NORTH HICKORY STREET CLAREMORE, OK 74017 For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY (X4) ID PREFIX TAG (continued... from page 3)
NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY* F 0502 **NOTE- TERMS IN BRACKETS HAVE BEEN EDITED TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY
Based on observation, interview, and record review, it was determined the facility failed to ensure an order and/or recommendation to obtain a urinalysis (UA) and [MEDICATION NAME] laboratory (lab) tests were followed through for 1 (#1) of 1 sampled resident whose records were reviewed for physician ordered and/or recommended labs. The administrator identified 122 residents resided in the facility. Findings:
Resident #1 was admitted to the facility on [DATE] and had [DIAGNOSES REDACTED].
A telephone physician order, dated 10-14-15, documented an order to obtain a UA for resident #1.
A wound specialist initial evaluation progress note, dated 10-14-15, documented the wound specialist had recommended a [MEDICATION NAME] lab test for resident #1.
On 12-16-15 resident #1's clinical record was reviewed. The clinical record did not contain any documentation the UA and [MEDICATION NAME] had been done.
On 12-16-15 at 11:15 a.m., the assistant director of nursing (ADON) was asked if resident #1's UA and [MEDICATION NAME] lab Level of harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual Residents Affected - Some [MEDICATION NAME] had been done.

On 12-16-15 at 11:15 a.m., the assistant director of nursing (ADON) was asked if resident #1's UA and [MEDICATION NAME] lab tests had been performed. The ADON stated she did not know but she would go and check.

On 12-16-15 at 4:00 p.m., the ADON reported to the survey team that she could not find any documentation resident #1's UA and [MEDICATION NAME] lab tests had been done. The ADON was asked if she knew why the UA and [MEDICATION NAME] done. She stated the UA had been too difficult to obtain. The ADON was asked if a physician other than the resident's done. She stated the UA had been too difficult to obtain. The ADON was asked if a physician other than the resident's primary care physician (PCP) made a recommendation that a lab test be done would the staff carry out the order. She stated if another physician made a recommendation for a lab test the nursing staff would need to contact the PCP to ensure the PCP wanted to carry through with the recommendation. The ADON was asked if resident #1's PCP had been notified of the wound specialist's recommendation that a [MEDICATION NAME] lab test be done. She stated she did not know.

FORM CMS-2567(02-99) Event ID: YL1O11 Facility ID: 375499 If continuation sheet Previous Versions Obsolete Page 4 of 4